APPENDIX D

DATA VALIDATION REPORTS

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc.
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
ATTN: Mr. Scott Brehmer

September 10, 2004

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #12, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Brehmer,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on September 3, 2004. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 12429:

SDG #	Fraction
04-3915, 04-3925, 04-3938, 04-3962	Volatiles, Chromium, Wet Chemistry

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, February 1994
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

V:\LOGIN\Geofon\JPL\12429COV.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 2, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3915

Sample Identification

DUPE-1-3Q04
EB-1-8/2/04
MW-14-1
MW-14-2
MW-14-3
MW-14-4
MW-14-5**
MW-21-1
MW-21-2
MW-21-3
MW-21-4
MW-21-5
TB-1-8/2/04
MW-21-4MS
MW-21-4MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 15 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
8/6/04	2-Butanone	30.83	All samples in SDG 04-3195	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analysis Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
04G3115-MB-01	8/6/04	Methylene chloride	0.5 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3915

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-1-3Q04 and MW-14-5** were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-1-8/2/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-1-8/2/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-1-8/2/04	2-Butanone	2

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3915

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-3915	DUPE-1-3Q04 EB-1-8/2/04 MW-14-1 MW-14-2 MW-14-3 MW-14-4 MW-14-5** MW-21-1 MW-21-2 MW-21-3 MW-21-4 MW-21-5 TB-1-8/2/04	2-Butanone	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3915

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC Report# 12429B1

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 3, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3925

Sample Identification

EB-2-8/3/04
MW-7
MW-18-2
MW-18-3
MW-18-4
MW-18-5
TB-2-8/3/04

Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
8/6/04	2-Butanone	30.83	All samples in SDG 04-3925	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analysis Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
04G3115-MB-01	8/6/04	Methylene chloride	0.5 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3925

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-2-8/3/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-2-8/3/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3925

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-3925	EB-2-8/3/04 MW-7 MW-18-2 MW-18-3 MW-18-4 MW-18-5 TB-2-8/3/04	2-Butanone	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3925

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 4, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3938

Sample Identification

EB-3-8/4/04
MW-20-1
MW-20-2
MW-20-3
MW-20-4
MW-20-5
TB-3-8/4/04
MW-20-5MS
MW-20-5MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 9 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analysis Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
04G3136-MB-01	8/7/04	Methylene chloride	1.1 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3938

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-3-8/4/04	Methylene chloride	1.6 ug/L	1.6U ug/L
MW-20-1	Methylene chloride	0.6 ug/L	0.6U ug/L
MW-20-2	Methylene chloride	1.2 ug/L	1.2U ug/L
MW-20-3	Methylene chloride	0.8 ug/L	0.8U ug/L
MW-20-4	Methylene chloride	1.2 ug/L	1.2U ug/L
MW-20-5	Methylene chloride	0.9 ug/L	0.9U ug/L
TB-3-8/4/04	Methylene chloride	0.5 ug/L	0.5U ug/L

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-3-8/4/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Trip Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
TB-3-8/4/04	Methylene chloride	1.6

Sample EB-3-8/4/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-3-8/4/04	Methylene chloride	0.5

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3938

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3938

SDG	Sample	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
04-3938	EB-3-8/4/04	Methylene chloride	1.6U ug/L	A
04-3938	MW-20-1	Methylene chloride	0.6U ug/L	A
04-3938	MW-20-2	Methylene chloride	1.2U ug/L	A
04-3938	MW-20-3	Methylene chloride	0.8U ug/L	A
04-3938	MW-20-4	Methylene chloride	1.2U ug/L	A
04-3938	MW-20-5	Methylene chloride	0.9U ug/L	A
04-3938	TB-3-8/4/04	Methylene chloride	0.5U ug/L	A

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 5, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3962

Sample Identification

DUPE-2-3Q04
EB-4-8/5/04
MW-17-2
MW-17-3
MW-17-4
MW-19-1
MW-19-2
MW-19-3
MW-19-4
MW-19-5**
TB-4-8/5/04
MW-17-4MS
MW-17-4MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 13 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
8/11/04	Chloromethane	31.58	MW-17-2 MW-17-3 MW-17-4 MW-19-1 MW-19-2 MW-19-3 MW-19-4 MW-19-5** TB-4-8/5/04 MW-17-4MS MW-17-4MSD 04G3172-MB-01	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analysis Date	Compound TIC (RT in minutes)	Concentration	Associated Samples
04G3136-MB-01	8/7/04	Methylene chloride	1.1 ug/L	DUPE-2-3Q04 EB-4-8/5/04

Sample concentrations were compared to concentrations detected in the method blanks. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>10X for common contaminants, >5X for other contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-2-3Q04 and MW-19-1 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-4-8/5/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-4-8/5/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3962

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-3962	MW-17-2 MW-17-3 MW-17-4 MW-19-1 MW-19-2 MW-19-3 MW-19-4 MW-19-5** TB-4-8/5/04	Chloromethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3962

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 2, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3915

Sample Identification

EB-1-8/2/04
MW-14-1
MW-14-2
MW-14-3
MW-21-1
MW-21-2
MW-21-3
MW-21-4
MW-21-5
MW-21-4MS
MW-21-4MSD
MW-21-4DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.041 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3915

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample data were not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not reviewed in this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Diluted Sample	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
MW-21-4L	Chromium	18.5 (≤10)	All samples in SDG 04-3915	J (all detects)	A

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-1-8/2/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-1-8/2/04	Chromium	0.52

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3915

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-3915	EB-1-8/2/04 MW-14-1 MW-14-2 MW-14-3 MW-21-1 MW-21-2 MW-21-3 MW-21-4 MW-21-5	Chromium	J (all detects)	A	ICP serial dilution (%D)

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3915

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LDC Report# 12429B4

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 3, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3925

Sample Identification

EB-2-8/3/04
MW-7
MW-18-2
MW-18-3
MW-18-4

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.042 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3925

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample data were not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not reviewed in this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Diluted Sample	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
MW-21-4L	Chromium	18.5 (≤10)	All samples in SDG 04-3925	J (all detects)	A

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-2-8/3/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-2-8/3/04	Chromium	0.35

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3925

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-3925	EB-2-8/3/04 MW-7 MW-18-2 MW-18-3 MW-18-4	Chromium	J (all detects)	A	ICP serial dilution (%D)

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3925

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 4, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3938

Sample Identification

EB-3-8/4/04
MW-20-1
MW-20-2
MW-20-3
MW-20-4
MW-20-5
MW-20-5MS
MW-20-5DUP
MW-20-5MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 9 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.145 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3938

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-3-8/4/04	Chromium	0.41 ug/L	0.41U ug/L

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample data were not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not reviewed in this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-3-8/4/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-3-8/4/04	Chromium	0.41

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3938

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3938

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
04-3938	EB-3-8/4/04	Chromium	0.41U ug/L	A

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 5, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 8, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3962

Sample Identification

MW-17-2
MW-17-3
MW-17-4

Introduction

This data review covers 3 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.145 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-3962

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample data were not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not reviewed in this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3962

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3962

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 2, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 7, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3915

Sample Identification

DUPE-1-3Q04
EB-1-8/2/04
MW-14-1
MW-14-2
MW-14-3
MW-14-4
MW-14-5**
MW-21-1
MW-21-2
MW-21-3
MW-21-4
MW-21-5
MW-21-4MS
MW-21-4MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 14 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-1-3Q04 and MW-14-5** were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-1-8/2/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3915

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3915

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 3, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 7, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3925

Sample Identification

EB-2-8/3/04
MW-7
MW-18-2
MW-18-3
MW-18-4
MW-18-5

Introduction

This data review covers 6 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-2-8/3/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3925

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3925

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 4, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 7, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3938

Sample Identification

EB-3-8/4/04
MW-20-1
MW-20-2
MW-20-3
MW-20-4
MW-20-5
MW-20-5MS
MW-20-5MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 8 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-3-8/4/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3938

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3938

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 5, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 7, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-3962

Sample Identification

DUPE-2-3Q04
EB-4-8/5/04
MW-17-2
MW-17-3
MW-17-4
MW-19-1
MW-19-2
MW-19-3
MW-19-4
MW-19-5**
MW-17-2MS
MW-17-2MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-2-3Q04 and MW-19-5** were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-4-8/5/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3962

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-3962

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc.
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
ATTN: Mr. Scott Brehmer

September 27, 2004

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #12, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Brehmer,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on September 14, 2004. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 12465:

SDG #	Fraction
04-4000, 04-4017, 04-4031	Volatiles, Chromium, Wet Chemistry

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, February 1994
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

V:\LOGIN\Geofon\JPL\12465COV.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 9, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 24, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4000

Sample Identification

DUPE-3-3Q04
EB-5-8/9/04
MW-4-1
MW-4-2
MW-4-3
MW-11-1
MW-11-2
MW-11-3
MW-11-4**
TB-5-8/9/04

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 10 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
8/11/04	Chloromethane	31.58	All samples in SDG 04-4000	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were not required by the method.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-3-3Q04 and MW-11-4** were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-5-8/9/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Trip Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
TB-5-8/9/04	2-Butanone	1

Sample EB-5-8/9/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-5-8/9/04	2-Butanone	1
	Ethylbenzene	1.7
	Toluene	2.8
	o-Xylene	1
	m,p-Xylenes	7.2

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4000

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-4000	DUPE-3-3Q04 EB-5-8/9/04 MW-4-1 MW-4-2 MW-4-3 MW-11-1 MW-11-2 MW-11-3 MW-11-4** TB-5-8/9/04	Chloromethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4000

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 10, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 24, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4017

Sample Identification

EB-6-8/10/04
MW-23-1
MW-23-2
MW-23-3
MW-24-1
MW-24-2
MW-24-3
TB-6-8/10/04
MW-23-2MS
MW-23-2MSD
MW-24-1MS
MW-24-1MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-6-8/10/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Trip Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
TB-6-8/10/04	2-Butanone	0.9

Sample EB-6-8/10/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-6-8/10/04	Ethylbenzene	0.6
	Toluene	1.0
	o-Xylene	0.4
	m,p-Xylenes	2.6

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 11, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 24, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4031

Sample Identification

DUPE-4-3Q04
EB-7-8/11/04
MW-3-2**
MW-3-3
MW-3-4
MW-12-1
MW-12-2
MW-12-3
MW-12-4
MW-12-5
TB-7-8/11/04

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 11 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-4-3Q04 and MW-3-3 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-4-3Q04	MW-3-3	
Ethylbenzene	0.7	0.6	15
Methyl-tert-butyl ether	0.3	0.4	29
Toluene	0.4	0.3	29

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-7-8/11/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Trip Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
TB-7-8/11/04	2-Butanone	1

Sample EB-7-8/11/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-7-8/11/04	m,p-Xylenes	0.8

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4031

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4031

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 9, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 15, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4000

Sample Identification

EB-5-8/9/04
MW-4-1
MW-4-2
MW-4-3
MW-11-1
MW-11-2
MW-11-3

Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.145 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4000

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-5-8/9/04	Chromium	0.67 ug/L	0.67U ug/L
MW-4-1 (1.25x)	Chromium	0.76 ug/L	0.76U ug/L

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample not required by the method.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-5-8/9/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium was found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-5-8/9/04	Chromium	0.67

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4000

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4000

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
04-4000	EB-5-8/9/04	Chromium	0.67U ug/L	A
04-4000	MW-4-1 (1.25x)	Chromium	0.76U ug/L	A

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 10, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 15, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4017

Sample Identification

EB-6-8/10/04
MW-23-1
MW-23-2
MW-23-3
MW-23-4
MW-24-1
MW-24-2
MW-24-3
MW-24-4
MW-23-2MS
MW-23-2MSD
MW-23-2DUP
MW-24-1MS
MW-24-1MSD
MW-24-1DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 15 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Chromium	0.030 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4017
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.235 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4017

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-6-8/10/04	Chromium	0.87 ug/L	0.87U ug/L

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample not required by the method.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-6-8/10/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium was found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-6-8/10/04	Chromium	0.87

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
04-4017	EB-6-8/10/04	Chromium	0.87U ug/L	A

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 11, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 15, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4031

Sample Identification

DUPE-4-3Q04
EB-7-8/11/04
MW-3-2**
MW-3-3
MW-3-4
MW-12-1
MW-12-2
MW-12-3

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 8 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
PB (prep blank)	Chromium	0.030 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4031
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.235 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4031

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-7-8/11/04	Chromium	0.29 ug/L	0.29U ug/L

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample not required by the method.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met.

XI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications met validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-4-3Q04 and MW-3-3 were identified as field duplicates. No chromium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-4-3Q04	MW-3-3	
Chromium	7.4	7.2	3

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-7-8/11/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium was found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-7-8/11/04	Chromium	0.29

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4031

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4031

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
04-4031	EB-7-8/11/04	Chromium	0.29U ug/L	A

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 9, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 15, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4000

Sample Identification

DUPE-3-3Q04
EB-5-8/9/04
MW-4-1
MW-4-2
MW-4-3
MW-11-1
MW-11-2
MW-11-3
MW-11-4**
MW-4-1MS
MW-4-1MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 11 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-3-3Q04 and MW-11-4** were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-5-8/9/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4000

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4000

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 10, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 15, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4017

Sample Identification

EB-6-8/10/04
MW-23-1
MW-23-2
MW-23-3
MW-23-4
MW-24-1
MW-24-2
MW-24-3
MW-24-4
MW-23-2MS
MW-23-2MSD
MW-24-1MS
MW-24-1MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 13 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits with the following exceptions:

Spike ID (Associated Samples)	Analyte	MS (%R) (Limits)	MSD (%R) (Limits)	RPD (Limits)	Flag	A or P
MW-24-1MS/MSD (EB-6-8/10/04 MW-23-1 MW-23-2 MW-23-3 MW-24-1 MW-24-2 MW-24-3)	Perchlorate	4 (75-125)	6 (75-125)	-	J (all detects) R (all non-detects)	A

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-6-8/10/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-4017	EB-6-8/10/04 MW-23-1 MW-23-2 MW-23-3 MW-24-1 MW-24-2 MW-24-3	Perchlorate	J (all detects) R (all non-detects)	A	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates (%R)

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc.
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
ATTN: Mr. Scott Brehmer

October 13, 2004

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #12, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Brehmer,

Enclosed is the revised data validation report for the fraction listed below. Please replace the previously submitted report with the enclosed revised report.

SDG#	LDC#	Fraction
04-4017	12465B6	Wet Chemistry

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

V:\LOGIN\Geofon\JPL\12465REV.wpd

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 10, 2004

LDC Report Date: October 10, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4017

Sample Identification

EB-6-8/10/04
MW-23-1
MW-23-2
MW-23-3
MW-23-4
MW-24-1
MW-24-2
MW-24-3
MW-24-4
MW-23-2MS
MW-23-2MSD
MW-24-1MS
MW-24-1MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 13 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

*IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

*Removed MS/MSD finding

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-6-8/10/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

***NASA JPL**
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4017

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

*Removed MS/MSD finding from Data Qualification Summary

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 11, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 15, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4031

Sample Identification

DUPE-4-3Q04
EB-7-8/11/04
MW-3-2**
MW-3-3
MW-3-4
MW-12-1
MW-12-2
MW-12-3
MW-12-4
MW-12-5
DUPE-4-3Q04MS
DUPE-4-3Q04MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-4-3Q04 and MW-3-3 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-7-8/11/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4031

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4031

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.
7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc.
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
ATTN: Mr. Scott Brehmer

September 27, 2004

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #12, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Brehmer,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on September 20, 2004. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 12484:

SDG #	Fraction
04-4044, 04-4085, 04-4096	Volatiles, Chromium, Wet Chemistry

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, February 1994
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996; update IIIA, April 1998

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

E Rauto

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

V:\LOGIN\Geofon\JPL\12484COV.wpd

Attachment 1

LDC #12484 (Geofon, Inc.-Diamond Bar / NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, DO#012)

LDC	SDG#	DATE REC'D	DATE DUE	VOA (524.2)		Cr (200.8)		Cr(VI) (7196)		CLO_4 (314.0)																											
Matrix:	Water/Soil			W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S	W	S
A	04-4044	09/20/04	10/11/04	5	0	4	0	4	0	4	0																										
B	04-4085	09/20/04	10/11/04	4	0	4	0	4	0	3	0																										
B	04-4085	09/20/04	10/11/04	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																										
C	04-4096	09/20/04	10/11/04	4	0	3	0	3	0	3	0																										
C	04-4096	09/20/04	10/11/04	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0																										
Total	B			15	0	13	0	13	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	53

Shaded cells indicate Level IV validation (all other cells are Level III validation).

12484ST.wpd

NASA JPL
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 12484

Volatiles

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 12, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 27, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4044

Sample Identification

EB-8-8/12/04
MW-22-1
MW-22-2
MW-22-3
TB-8-8/12/04
MW-22-3MS
MW-22-3MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-8-8/12/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

TRIP Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
TB-8-8/12/04	2-Butanone	1

Sample EB-8-8/12/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-8-8/12/04	Methylene chloride	0.5

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4044

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4044

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

A

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-1	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**EB-8-8/12/04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	13:25
Data File Name:	4044-01	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

gr 9/27/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	μg/L	0.5	0.5	
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	μg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	μg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112-TRICHLORO122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	99
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	97
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	105
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	95
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	89
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	91
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	78
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-2	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-22-1**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	13:51
Data File Name:	4044-02	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	μg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	μg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/27/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	0.7	
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	0.9	
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	0.3	J
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112-TRICHLORO122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	100
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	95
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	105
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	84
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	86
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	74
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-3	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-22-2**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	14:17
Data File Name:	4044-03	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/27/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	0.8	
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	101
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	95
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	105
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	81
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	81
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	71
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/07/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-4	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	MW-22-3	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	14:44
Data File Name:	4044-04	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/8/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	0.7	
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	102
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	96
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	106
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	79
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	79
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	69
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-5	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**TB-8-8/12/04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	15:10
Data File Name:	4044-05	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	1	J
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/27/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	100
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	98
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	108
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	97
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	77
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	79
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	67
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/8/04

LDC #: 12484A1

SDG #: 04-4044

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III

Date: 9/23/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer:

2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA Method 524.2)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/12/04
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	% RSD, r^2 ≥0.990
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	N	
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	N	
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	
XIV.	System performance	N	
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	N	
XVII.	Field blanks	SW	EB = 1 TB = 5

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: Water

1	EB-8-8/12/04	11	0403223-MB-210			31	
2	MW-22-1	12		22		32	
3	MW-22-2	13		23		33	
4	MW-22-3	14		24		34	
5	TB-8-8/12/04	15		25		35	
6	MW-22-3MS	16		26		36	
7	MW-22-3MSD	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

A. Chloromethane	Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane	GG. Xylenes, total	WW. Bromobenzene	MMM. Naphthalene
B. Bromomethane	R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	HH. Vinyl acetate	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
C. Vinyl choride	S. Trichloroethene	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	YY. n-Propylbenzene	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene
D. Chloroethane	T. Dibromochloromethane	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
E. Methylene chloride	U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
F. Acetone	V. Benzene	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	RRR. m,p-Xylenes
G. Carbon disulfide	W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	SSS. o-Xylene
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene	X. Bromoform	NN. Diethyl ether	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane	Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	UUU. Benzyl chloride
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	Z. 2-Hexanone	PP. Bromochloromethane	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene
K. Chloroform	AA. Tetrachloroethene	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene	WWW. Ethanol
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	RR. Dibromomethane	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	XXX. Ethyl ether
M. 2-Butanone	CC. Toluene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	III. n-Butylbenzene	
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	
O. Carbon tetrachloride	EE. Ethylbenzene	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	
P. Bromodichloromethane	FF. Styrene	VV. Isopropylbenzene	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	

Notes:_____

LDC #: 12484A1
SDG #: 04-4044

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Reviewer:
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA ~~SW-846~~ Method ~~8260B~~ 524.2)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target compounds detected in the field blanks?

Sample: 1 Field Blank / Trip Blank / Rinsate / Other EB (circle one)

Compound	Concentration Units (ug/L)
E	0.5

Sample: 5 Field Blank / Trip Blank / Rinsate / Other TB (circle one)

Compound	Concentration Units (ug/L)
M	1

Sample: _____ Field Blank / Trip Blank / Rinsate / Other _____ (circle one)

Compound	Concentration Units ( )

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 16, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 27, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4085

Sample Identification

DUPE-5-3Q04
MW-5
MW-6**
MW-16
TB-9-8/16/04

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-5-3Q04 and MW-5 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-9-8/16/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4085

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4085

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

B

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-1	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	**DUPE-5-3Q04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	15:36
Data File Name:	4085-01	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/8/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	102
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	102
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	110
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	77
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	77
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	67
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/27/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-2	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-5**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	16:02
Data File Name:	4085-02	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/8/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	101
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	99
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	109
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	97
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	76
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	75
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	66
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-3	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	MW-6	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	16:29
Data File Name:	4085-03	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	0.6	
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/27/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	1.1	
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	0.4	J
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	102
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	104
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	112
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	77
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	77
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	66
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/7/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-5	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	MW-16	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	16:55
Data File Name:	4085-05	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	4.0	
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	5.1	
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	1.3	
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/7/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	0.5	
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	1.0	
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	101
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	103
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	111
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	76
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	77
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	66
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-6	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	**TB-9-8/16/04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	17:21
Data File Name:	4085-06	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	102
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	107
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	112
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	97
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	76
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	76
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	65
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

LDC #: 12484B1

SDG #: 04-4085

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III/IV

Date: 9/23/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer:

2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA Method 524.2)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/16/04
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	% RSD, r^2 ≥ 0.990
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	MW-22-3
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIV.	System performance	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 1 + 2
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	TB = 5

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates ~~sample~~ water underwent Level IV validation

1	DUPE-5-3Q04 D	11	04G3223-MB01			31	
2	MW-5 D	12		22		32	
3	MW-6**	13		23		33	
4	MW-16	14		24		34	
5	TB-9-8/16/04	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 124848I
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: R
2nd Reviewer: Y

Method: Volatiles (EPA Method 524.2)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS instrument performance check				
Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	✓			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 30%?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	✓			
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			✓	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Was a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for this SDG?	✓		NA	
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓		NA	
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			

LDC #: 12484B1
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within +/-40% from the associated calibration standard?	✓			
Were retention times within - 30% of the last continuing calibration or +/- 50% of the initial calibration?	✓			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?	✓			
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?	✓			
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	✓			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?	✓			
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 25 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			✓	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?			✓	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?			✓	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.		✓		
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

A. Chloromethane	Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane	GG. Xylenes, total	WW. Bromobenzene	MMM. Naphthalene
B. Bromomethane	R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	HH. Vinyl acetate	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
C. Vinyl choride	S. Trichloroethene	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	YY. n-Propylbenzene	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene
D. Chloroethane	T. Dibromochloromethane	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
E. Methylene chloride	U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
F. Acetone	V. Benzene	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	RRR. m,p-Xylenes
G. Carbon disulfide	W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	SSS. o-Xylene
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene	X. Bromoform	NN. Diethyl ether	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane	Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	UUU. Benzyl chloride
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	Z. 2-Hexanone	PP. Bromochloromethane	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene
K. Chloroform	AA. Tetrachloroethene	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene	WWW. Ethanol
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	RR. Dibromomethane	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	XXX. Ethyl ether
M. 2-Butanone	CC. Toluene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	III. n-Butylbenzene	
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	
O. Carbon tetrachloride	EE. Ethylbenzene	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	
P. Bromodichloromethane	FF. Styrene	VV. Isopropylbenzene	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	

Notes: _____

LDC #: 1248431
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

RRF = $(A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of compound,
C_x = Concentration of compound,
S = Standard deviation of the RRFs
X = Mean of the RRFs

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported RRF (10 std)	Recalculated RRF (10 std)	Reported Average RRF (initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	524.2 ICAL	7/22/04	Bromomethane ~~Methylene chloride~~ (1st Internal Standard)	0.099	0.099	0.104	0.104	4.77	4.77
			Toluene ~~Trichlorethene~~ (2nd internal standard)	1.497	1.497	1.516	1.516	4.06	4.06
			Ethyl Benzene ~~Bromoform~~ (3rd internal standard)	3.301	3.301	3.345	3.345	3.96	3.96
2			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)						
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)						
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)						
3			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)						
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)						
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)						
4			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)						
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)						
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)						

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 124813
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
RRF = $(A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported RRF (CC)	Recalculated RRF (CC)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	04G3223	8/18/04	~~Methylene chloride~~ Bromomethane (1st Internal Standard)	0.104	0.120	0.120	15.4	15.4
			~~Trichlorethene~~ Toluene (2nd internal standard)	1.516	1.440	1.440	5.0	5.0
			~~Bromoform~~ Ethyl Benzene (3rd internal standard)	3.345	3.306	3.306	1.2	1.2
2			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)					
3			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)					
4			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484B1
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: #3

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8	20	19.1	96	96	0
Bromofluorobenzene		20.2	102	102	
1,2-Dichloro~~benzene-d4~~ ethane D4		20.8	104	104	
Dibromofluoromethane		22.4	112	112	

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID:

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 12484B1
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration, SA = Spike added, SC = Sample concentration

RPD = I MSC - MSDC I * 2/(MSC + MSDC) MSC = Matrix spike percent recovery MSDC = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD sample: MW-22-3

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Sample Concentration (ug/L)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD	—	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
1,1-Dichloroethene	20	20	0	17.2	18.9	86	86	95	95	10	9.4
Trichloroethene	↓	↓	↓	18.7	20.5	94	94	103	103	9	9
Benzene	↓	↓	↓	18.5	20.4	93	93	102	102	9	10
Toluene	↓	↓	↓	19.4	21.3	97	97	107	107	10	9
Chlorobenzene	↓	↓	↓	19.7	21.4	99	99	107	107	8	8

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484B1
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: B
2nd Reviewer: G

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratoy control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * SSC/SA Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = | LCS - LCSD | * 2/(LCS + LCSD) LCS = Laboractry control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS ID: LCS

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
1,1-Dichloroethene	20	NA	~~18.0~~ 19.0	NA	95	95				
Trichloroethene			20.2		101	101				
Benzene			19.8		99	99				
Toluene			20.6		103	103				
Chlorobenzene			20.7		104	104	NA			

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484B1
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

$$\text{Concentration} = \frac{(A_x)(I_s)(DF)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(\%S)}$$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured

A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard

I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

RRF = Relative response factor of the calibration standard.

V_o = Volume or weight of sample pruged in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).

Df = Dilution factor.

%S = Percent solids, applicable to soils and solid matrices only.

Example:

Sample I.D. #3 . 1,1 : DCA

Conc. = (8891) (10) ( ) ( )
346 859) (0.464) ( ) ( )
= 0.6 ug/L

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 17, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 27, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Volatiles

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4096

Sample Identification

DUPE-6-3Q04
MW-8**
MW-10
MW-13
TB-10-8/17/04

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for all compounds.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-6-3Q04 and MW-10 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-6-3Q04	MW-10	
1,1-Dichloroethane	1	0.9	11
Tetrachloroethane	1.8	1.5	18

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-6-3Q04	MW-10	
Trichloroethene	16.6	14.6	13
Chloroform	1.4	1.3	7

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-10-8/17/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

C

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-1	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**DUPE-6-3Q04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	17:47
Data File Name:	4096-01	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	< 10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	1.4	
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	1	
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	< 0.5	U

9/21/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	1.8	
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	16.6	
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	100
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	102
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	111
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	99
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	73
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	75
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	64
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/9/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-2	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	MW-8	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	18:13
Data File Name:	4096-02	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/8/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	112TRICHLORO-122TRIFLUOROETHANE	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	103
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	108
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	114
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	96
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	75
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	75
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	64
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/27/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-3	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-10**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	18:39
Data File Name:	4096-03	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	1.3	
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	0.9	
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/07/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	1.5	
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	14.6	
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUORO	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	102
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	106
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	114
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	97
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	76
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	75
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	64
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-4	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	MW-13	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	19:05
Data File Name:	4096-04	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N)	N

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	2.0	
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	3.5	
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/9/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	0.9	
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	15.4	
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUORO	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	104
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	104
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	113
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	98
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	73
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	71
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	62
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Organic Analysis Results for Method 524.2

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-5	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**TB-10-8/17/04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample	Prep. Method:	5030	Instrument ID:	GC/MS: A
Anal. Method:	524.2	Prep. Date:	08/18/04	Anal. Date:	08/18/04
Batch No:	04G3223	Prep. No:	–	Anal. Time:	19:31
Data File Name:	4096-05	Sample Amount:	25.0 mL	Dilution Factor:	1
Methanol Vol.	–				
Test Level:	Low	Sparge Size:	25 mL	Heated Purge: (Y/N) N	

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
1	BENZENE	71-43-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
2	BROMOBENZENE	108-86-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
3	BROMOCHLOROMETHANE	74-97-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
4	BROMODICHLOROMETHANE	75-27-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
5	BROMOFORM	75-25-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
6	BROMOMETHANE	74-83-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
7	N-BUTYLBENZENE	104-51-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
8	SEC-BUTYLBENZENE	135-98-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
9	TERT-BUTYLBENZENE	98-06-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
10	2-BUTANONE	78-93-3	µg/L	10	<10	U
11	CARBON TETRACHLORIDE	56-23-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
12	CHLOROBENZENE	108-90-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
13	CHLORODIBROMOMETHANE	124-48-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
14	CHLOROETHANE	75-00-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
15	CHLOROFORM	67-66-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
16	CHLOROMETHANE	74-87-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
17	2-CHLOROTOLUENE	95-49-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
18	4-CHLOROTOLUENE	106-43-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
19	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE	96-12-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
20	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE (EDB)	106-93-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
21	DIBROMOMETHANE	74-95-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
22	1,2-DICHLOROBENZENE	95-50-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
23	1,3-DICHLOROBENZENE	541-73-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
24	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE	106-46-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
25	DICHLORODIFLUOROMETHANE	75-71-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
26	1,1-DICHLOROETHANE	75-34-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
27	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE	107-06-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
28	1,1-DICHLOROETHENE	75-35-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
29	CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-59-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
30	TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE	156-60-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
31	1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	78-87-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
32	1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE	142-28-9	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
33	2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE	594-20-7	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
34	1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE	563-58-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
35	CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-01-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
36	TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE	10061-02-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
37	ETHYLBENZENE	100-41-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
38	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE	87-68-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
39	ISOPROPYLBENZENE (CUMENE)	98-82-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

9/20/04

#	Component Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	Qualifier
40	P-ISOPROPYLTOLUENE	99-87-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
41	METHYLENE CHLORIDE	75-09-2	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
42	METHYL-T-BUTYL ETHER (MTBE)	1634-04-4	µg/L	1	<1	U
43	4-METHYL-2-PENTANONE (MIBK)	108-10-1	µg/L	10	<10	U
44	NAPHTHALENE	91-20-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
45	N-PROPYLBENZENE	103-65-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
46	STYRENE	100-42-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
47	1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	630-20-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
48	1,1,2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	79-34-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
49	TETRACHLOROETHENE	127-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
50	TOLUENE	108-88-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
51	1,2,3-TRICHLOROBENZENE	87-61-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
52	1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE	120-82-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
53	1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE	71-55-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
54	1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	79-00-5	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
55	TRICHLOROETHENE	79-01-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
56	TRICHLOROFLUOROMETHANE	75-69-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
57	1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE	96-18-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
58	1,1,2-TRICHLORO-1,2,2-TRIFLUORO	76-13-1	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
59	1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	95-63-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
60	1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE	108-67-8	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
61	VINYL CHLORIDE	75-01-4	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
62	O-XYLENE	95-47-6	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U
63	M/P-XYLENE	108-38-3	µg/L	0.5	<0.5	U

Surrogates			Control Limit, %	Surro. Rec.%
1	1-BROMO-4-FLUOROBENZENE (4-BROMOFL	460-00-4	70-129	102
2	1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-D4	17060-07-0	70-129	101
3	DIBROMOFLUOROMETHANE	1868-53-7	70-122	112
4	TOLUENE-D8	2037-26-5	73-129	98
# of out-of-control				0

Internal Standard			Control Limit, %	IS Rec.%
1	CHLOROBENZENE-D5	3114-55-4	50-200	73
2	1,4-DICHLOROBENZENE-D4	3855-82-1	50-200	73
3	FLUOROBENZENE	462-06-6	50-200	63
# of out-of-control				0

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
J - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL, or an estimated result (e.g. for TIC)
E - Exceed calibration range
B - A positive value was found in the method blank
D - Diluted

9/2/04

LDC #: 12484C1

SDG #: 04-4096

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III/IV

Date: 9/24/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer:

2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS Volatiles (EPA Method 524.2)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/17/04
II.	GC/MS Instrument performance check	A	
III.	Initial calibration	A	
IV.	Continuing calibration	A	
V.	Blanks	A	
VI.	Surrogate spikes	A	
VII.	Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates	A	MW-22-3
VIII.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS
IX.	Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control	N	
X.	Internal standards	A	
XI.	Target compound identification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XII.	Compound quantitation/CRQLs	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XIII.	Tentitatively identified compounds (TICs)	N	Not reviewed for Level III validation. Not reported
XIV.	System performance	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
XV.	Overall assessment of data	A	
XVI.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 1 + 3
XVII.	Field blanks	ND	TB = 5

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

1+	DUPE-~~3~~ 6-3Q04 water D	11	04G3223-MB-01	21		31	
2	MW-8**	12		22		32	
3+	MW-10 D	13		23		33	
4+	MW-13	14		24		34	
5	TB-10-8/17/04	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

LDC #: 12484C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Volatiles (EPA Method 524.2)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. GC/MS instrument performance check				
Were the BFB performance results reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria?	✓			
Were all samples analyzed within the 12 hour clock criteria?	✓			
III. Initial calibration				
Did the laboratory perform a 5 point calibration prior to sample analysis?	✓			
Were all percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) ≤ 20%?	✓			
IV. Continuing calibration				
Was a continuing calibration standard analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each instrument?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%D) ≤ 30%?	✓			
V. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was a method blank analyzed at least once every 12 hours for each matrix and concentration?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
VI. Surrogate spikes				
Were all surrogate %R within QC limits?	✓			
If the percent recovery (%R) for one or more surrogates was out of QC limits, was a reanalysis performed to confirm samples with %R outside of criteria?			✓	
VII. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Was a matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			
VIII. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS analyzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per analytical batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the QC limits?	✓			

LDC #: 12484c1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Internal standards				
Were internal standard area counts within +/-40% from the associated calibration standard?	✓			
Were retention times within - 30% of the last continuing calibration or +/- 50% of the initial calibration?	✓			
XI. Target compound identification				
Were relative retention times (RRT's) within ± 0.06 RRT units of the standard?			✓	
Did compound spectra meet specified EPA "Functional Guidelines" criteria?			✓	
Were chromatogram peaks verified and accounted for?	✓			
XII. Compound quantitation/CRQLs				
Were the correct internal standard (IS), quantitation ion and relative response factor (RRF) used to quantitate the compound?			✓	
Were compound quantitation and CRQLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XIII. Tentatively identified compounds (TICs)				
Were the major ions (> 25 percent relative intensity) in the reference spectrum evaluated in sample spectrum?			✓	
Were relative intensities of the major ions within ± 20% between the sample and the reference spectra?			✓	
Did the raw data indicate that the laboratory performed a library search for all required peaks in the chromatograms (samples and blanks)?			✓	
XIV. System performance				
System performance was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XV. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XVI. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XVII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target compounds were detected in the field blanks.		✓		

TARGET COMPOUND WORKSHEET

METHOD: VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

A. Chloromethane	Q. 1,2-Dichloropropane	GG. Xylenes, total	WW. Bromobenzene	MMM. Naphthalene
B. Bromomethane	R. cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	HH. Vinyl acetate	XX. 1,2,3-Trichloropropane	NNN. 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene
C. Vinyl choride	S. Trichloroethene	II. 2-Chloroethylvinyl ether	YY. n-Propylbenzene	OOO. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene
D. Chloroethane	T. Dibromochloromethane	JJ. Dichlorodifluoromethane	ZZ. 2-Chlorotoluene	PPP. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene
E. Methylene chloride	U. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane	KK. Trichlorofluoromethane	AAA. 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	QQQ. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
F. Acetone	V. Benzene	LL. Methyl-tert-butyl ether	BBB. 4-Chlorotoluene	RRR. m,p-Xylenes
G. Carbon disulfide	W. trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	MM. 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	CCC. tert-Butylbenzene	SSS. o-Xylene
H. 1,1-Dichloroethene	X. Bromoform	NN. Diethyl ether	DDD. 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	TTT. 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane
I. 1,1-Dichloroethane	Y. 4-Methyl-2-pentanone	OO. 2,2-Dichloropropane	EEE. sec-Butylbenzene	UUU. Benzyl chloride
J. 1,2-Dichloroethene, total	Z. 2-Hexanone	PP. Bromochloromethane	FFF. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	VVV. 4-Ethyltoluene
K. Chloroform	AA. Tetrachloroethene	QQ. 1,1-Dichloropropene	GGG. p-Isopropyltoluene	WWW. Ethanol
L. 1,2-Dichloroethane	BB. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	RR. Dibromomethane	HHH. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	XXX. Ethyl ether
M. 2-Butanone	CC. Toluene	SS. 1,3-Dichloropropane	III. n-Butylbenzene	
N. 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	DD. Chlorobenzene	TT. 1,2-Dibromoethane	JJJ. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	
O. Carbon tetrachloride	EE. Ethylbenzene	UU. 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	KKK. 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	
P. Bromodichloromethane	FF. Styrene	VV. Isopropylbenzene	LLL. Hexachlorobutadiene	

Notes:_____

LDC#: 12484C1
SDG#: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: PT
2nd Reviewer: a

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

Y N NA Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N NA Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD	
	1	3		
1,1-Dichloroethane	1	0.9	11	
Tetrachloroethane	1.8	1.5	18	
Trichloroethene	16.6	14.6	13	
Chloroform	1.4	1.3	7	

V:\FIELD DUPLICATES\12484C1.wpd

LDC #: 12484C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer:
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The Relative Response Factor (RRF), average RRF, and percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculations:

$RRF = (A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$
average RRF = sum of the RRFs/number of standards
%RSD = 100 * (S/X)

A_x = Area of compound,
C_x = Concentration of compound,
S = Standard deviation of the RRFs
X = Mean of the RRFs

A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Reported RRF (10 std)	Recalculated RRF (10 std)	Reported Average RRF (Initial)	Recalculated Average RRF (Initial)	Reported %RSD	Recalculated %RSD
1	524.2 ICAL	7/22/04	Bromomethane ~~Methylene chloride~~ (1st Internal Standard)	0.099	0.099	0.104	0.104	4.77	4.77
			Toluene ~~Trichlorethene~~ (2nd internal standard)	1.497	1.497	1.516	1.516	4.06	4.06
			Ethyl Benzene ~~Bromoform~~ (3rd internal standard)	3.301	3.301	3.345	3.345	3.96	3.96
2			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)						
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)						
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)						
3			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)						
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)						
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)						
4			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)						
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)						
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)						

Comments: Refer to Initial Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Continuing Calibration Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: ET
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent difference (%D) of the initial calibration average Relative Response Factors (RRFs) and the continuing calibration RRFs were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Difference = 100 * (ave. RRF - RRF)/ave. RRF
RRF = $(A_x)(C_{is})/(A_{is})(C_x)$

Where: ave. RRF = initial calibration average RRF
RRF = continuing calibration RRF
A_x = Area of compound, A_{is} = Area of associated internal standard
C_x = Concentration of compound, C_{is} = Concentration of internal standard

#	Standard ID	Calibration Date	Compound (Reference Internal Standard)	Average RRF (Initial)	Reported RRF (CC)	Recalculated RRF (CC)	Reported %D	Recalculated %D
1	04G3223	8/18/04	~~Methylene chloride~~ Bromomethane (1st Internal Standard)	0.104	0.120	0.120	15.4	15.4
			~~Trichlorethene~~ Toluene (2nd internal standard)	1.516	1.440	1.440	5.0	5.0
			~~Bromoform~~ Ethyl Benzene (3rd internal standard)	3.345	3.306	3.306	1.2	1.2
2			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)					
3			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)					
4			Methylene chloride (1st Internal Standard)					
			Trichlorethene (2nd internal standard)					
			Bromoform (3rd internal standard)					

Comments: Refer to Continuing Calibration findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484 C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Surrogate Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent recoveries (%R) of surrogates were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery: SF/SS * 100

Where: SF = Surrogate Found
SS = Surrogate Spiked

Sample ID: 2

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8	20	19.21	96	96	0
Bromofluorobenzene	↓	20.49	103	103	↓
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	↓	21.61	108	108	↓
Dibromofluoromethane	20	22.67	114	114	↓

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

Sample ID: _____

	Surrogate Spiked	Surrogate Found	Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery	Percent Difference
			Reported	Recalculated	
Toluene-d8					
Bromofluorobenzene					
1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4					

LDC #: 12484C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates Results Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * (SSC - SC)/SA Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration; SA = Spike added; SC = Sample concentration

RPD = I MSC - MSDC I * 2/(MSC + MSDC) MSC = Matrix spike percent recovery MSDC = Matrix spike duplicate percent recovery

MS/MSD sample: MW-22-3

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Sample Concentration (ug/L)	Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		Matrix Spike Percent Recovery		Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery		MS/MSD RPD	
	MS	MSD	—	MS	MSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
1,1-Dichloroethene	20	20	0	17.2	18.9	86	86	95	95	10	9.4
Trichloroethene	↓	↓	↓	18.7	20.5	94	94	103	103	9	9
Benzene	↓	↓	↓	18.5	20.4	93	93	102	102	9	10
Toluene	↓	↓	↓	19.4	21.3	97	97	107	107	10	9
Chlorobenzene	↓	↓	↓	19.7	21.4	99	99	107	107	8	8

Comments: Refer to Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484 C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Laboratory Control Sample Results Verification

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

The percent recoveries (%R) and Relative Percent Difference (RPD) of the laboratoy control sample and laboratory control sample duplicate (if applicable) were recalculated for the compounds identified below using the following calculation:

% Recovery = 100 * SSC/SA Where: SSC = Spiked sample concentration
SA = Spike added

RPD = I LCS - LCSD I * 2/(LCS + LCSD) LCS = Laboractry control sample percent recovery LCSD = Laboratory control sample duplicate percent recovery

LCS ID: LCS

Compound	Spike Added (ug/L)		Spiked Sample Concentration (ug/L)		LCS Percent Recovery		LCSD Percent Recovery		LCS/LCSD RPD	
	LCS	LCSD	LCS	LCSD	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalc.	Reported	Recalculated
1,1-Dichloroethene	20	NA	19.0	NA	95	95				
Trichloroethene	↓	↓	20.2	↓	101	101				
Benzene	↓	↓	19.8	↓	99	99				
Toluene	↓	↓	20.6	↓	103	103				
Chlorobenzene	↓	↓	20.7	↓	104	104	NA			

Comments: Refer to Laboratory Control Sample findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 12484C1
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: GC/MS VOA (EPA Method 524.2)

Y N N/A Were all reported results recalculated and verified for all level IV samples?
Y N N/A Were all recalculated results for detected target compounds agree within 10.0% of the reported results?

Concentration = $\frac{(A_x)(I_s)(DF)}{(A_{is})(RRF)(V_o)(\%S)}$

A_x = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the compound to be measured

A_{is} = Area of the characteristic ion (EICP) for the specific internal standard

I_s = Amount of internal standard added in nanograms (ng)

RRF = Relative response factor of the calibration standard.

V_o = Volume or weight of sample pruged in milliliters (ml) or grams (g).

Df = Dilution factor.

%S = Percent solids, applicable to soils and solid matrices only.

Example:

Sample I.D. _____, _____:

all ND

Conc. = (_____)(_____)(_____)

(_____)(_____)(_____)(_____)

=

#	Sample ID	Compound	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Qualification

NASA JPL
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 12484

Chromium

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 12, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 21, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4044

Sample Identification

EB-8-8/12/04
MW-22-1
MW-22-2
MW-22-3
MW-22-3MS
MW-22-3MSD
MW-22-3DUP

Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.244 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4044

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks with the following exceptions:

Sample	Analyte	Reported Concentration	Modified Final Concentration
EB-8-8/12/04	Chromium	0.23 ug/L	0.23U ug/L

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample analysis was not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS was not reviewed in this SDG.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Diluted Sample	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
MW-22-3L	Chromium	23.5 (≤10)	All samples in SDG 04-4044	J (all detects)	A

XI. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XIV. Field Blanks

Sample EB-8-8/12/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No chromium contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-8-8/12/04	Chromium	0.23

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4044

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-4044	EB-8-8/12/04 MW-22-1 MW-22-2 MW-22-3	Chromium	J (all detects)	A	ICP serial dilution (%D)

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4044

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Modified Final Concentration	A or P
04-4044	EB-8-8/12/04	Chromium	0.23U ug/L	A

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-1	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**EB-8-8/12/04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	0.23 UJ		MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA

B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

* - Duplicate analysis out of control

E - Serial dilution difference out of control

F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/21/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-2	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-22-1**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.13	7.3	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1.25	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-3	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-22-2**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	9.8	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA

B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

* - Duplicate analysis out of control

E - Serial dilution difference out of control

F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/22/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/12/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4044-4	Received Date:	08/12/2004
Sample ID:	MW-22-3	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		µg/L	0.1	10.0	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/7/04

LDC #: 12484A4

SDG #: 04-4044

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III

Date: 9/21/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: MM

2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Chromium (EPA Method 200.8)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/12/04
II.	Calibration	A	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	Not required
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS/LCSD
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	N	Not reviewed
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	SW	
XI.	Sample Result Verification	N	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	N	
XIV.	Field Blanks	SW	EB=1

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

AA

1	EB-8-8/12/04	11		21		31	
2	MW-22-1	12		22		32	
3	MW-22-2	13		23		33	
4	MW-22-3	14		24		34	
5	MW-22-3MS	15		25		35	
6	MW-22-3MSD	16		26		36	
7	MW-22-3DUP	17		27		37	
8	PB	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 12484A4
SDG #: 04-4044

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MVR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied: _____
Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L Associated Samples: A1

					Sample Identification										
Analyte	Maximum PB[a] (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB[a] (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB[a] (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit	1										
Al															Al
Sb															Sb
As															As
Ba															Ba
Be															Be
Cd															Cd
Ca															Ca
Cr			0.244	1.22	0.23										Cr
Co															Co
Cu															Cu
Fe															Fe
Pb															Pb
Mg															Mg
Mn															Mn
Hg															Hg
Ni															Ni
K															K
Se															Se
Ag															Ag
Na															Na
Tl															Tl
V															V
Zn															Zn
B															B
Mo															Mo
Sr															Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

BLNKSMP.4S2

LDC #: 12484A4
SDG #: 04-4044

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
ICP Serial Dilution

Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A — If analyte concentrations were > 50X the IDL, was an ICP serial dilution analyzed?
Y (N) N/A — Were ICP serial dilution percent differences (%D) ≤10%?
Y (N) N/A — Is there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.

LEVEL IV ONLY:
Y N (N/A) — Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Diluted Sample ID	Matrix	Analyte	%D	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	4	AQ	Cr	23.5	All	J det/A

Comments: ______

SDIL4S2

LDC #: 124844
SDG #: 04-4044

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Blanks

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Y N N/A Were field blanks identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field blanks?

Sample: 1 Field Blank / Trip Blank / Rinsate / Other EB (circle one)

Analyte	Concentration Units (ug/L)
Cr	0.23

Sample: _____ Field Blank / Trip Blank / Rinsate / Other_____ (circle one)

Analyte	Concentration Units ( )

FLDBLK2.4SW

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 16, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 21, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4085

Sample Identification

DUPE-5-3Q04
MW-5
MW-6**
MW-15
MW-16
MW-15MS
MW-15MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.244 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4085

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample analysis was not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Diluted Sample	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
MW-22-3L	Chromium	23.5 (≤10)	All samples in SDG 04-4085	J (all detects)	A

XI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications met validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-5-3Q04 and MW-5 were identified as field duplicates. No chromium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-5-3Q04	MW-5	
Chromium	11.6	10.9	6

XIV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4085

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-4085	DUPE-5-3Q04 MW-5 MW-6** MW-15 MW-16	Chromium	J (all detects)	A	ICP serial dilution (%D)

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4085

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-1	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	**DUPE-5-3Q04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	11.6	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/02/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-2	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-5**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	--
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		$\mu g/L$	0.1	10.9	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-3	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	MW-6	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	28.4	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/20/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-4	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	MW-15	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		µg/L	0.1	12.6	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/08/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/16/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4085-5	Received Date:	08/16/2004
Sample ID:	MW-16	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		$\mu g/L$	0.1	9.1	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control * - Duplicate analysis out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

Faint

LDC #: 12484B4

SDG #: 04-4085

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III/IV

Date: 9/21/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: MH

2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Chromium (EPA Method 200.8)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/16/04
II.	Calibration	A	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	Not required
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	MS/MSD
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	MW-22-3
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS/LCSD
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	SW	
XI.	Sample Result Verification	A	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	SW	(1, 2)
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	DUPE-5-3Q04	11		21		31	
2	MW-5	12		22		32	
3	MW-6**	13		23		33	
4	MW-15	14		24		34	
5	MW-16	15		25		35	
6	MW-15MS	16		26		36	
7	MW-15MSD	17		27		37	
8	~~MW-15DUP~~	18		28		38	
9	PB	19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

DC #: 12484B4
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MHB
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Was a midrange cyanide standard distilled?			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	✓			
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?			✓	
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?			✓	
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections?			✓	

LDC #: 124484
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%?			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > ~~50X~~ 100X the IDL?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) ≤ 10%?		✓		
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		✓		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW 846 Method ~~6020~~ 7470.A)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the ~~30-120%~~ 60-115 of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?			✓	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 12484B4
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MVR
2nd Reviewer:

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied:
Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L Associated Samples: All

					Sample Identification										
Analyte	Maximum PB[a] (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB[a] (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB[a] (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit											
Al															Al
Sb							No	Samples	qualified						Sb
As															As
Ba															Ba
Be															Be
Cd															Cd
Ca															Ca
Cr			0.244	1.22											Cr
Co															Co
Cu															Cu
Fe															Fe
Pb															Pb
Mg															Mg
Mn															Mn
Hg															Hg
Ni															Ni
K															K
Se															Se
Ag															Ag
Na															Na
Tl															Tl
V															V
Zn															Zn
B															B
Mo															Mo
Sr															Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".
Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

BLNKSMP.4S2

LDC #: 12484B4
SDG #: 04-4.85

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
ICP Serial Dilution

Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [signature]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A — If analyte concentrations were > ~~50X~~ 10X the IDL, was an ICP serial dilution analyzed?
Y (N) N/A — Were ICP serial dilution percent differences (%D) ≤10%?
Y (N) N/A — Is there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.

LEVEL IV ONLY:
(Y) N N/A — Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Diluted Sample ID	Matrix	Analyte	%D	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	MW-22-3	Aq	Cr	23.5	All	J det/A

Comments:

LDC #: 148434
SDG #: 04-4-85

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Qualifications
	1	2			
Aluminum					
Antimony					
Arsenic					
Barium					
Beryllium					
Cadmium					
Calcium					
Chromium	11.6	10.9	6		
Cobalt					
Copper					
Iron					
Lead					
Magnesium					
Manganese					
Mercury					
Nickel					
Potassium					
Selenium					
Silver					
Sodium					
Thallium					
Vanadium					
Zinc					
Cyanide					
Boron					
Molybdenum					
Strontium					
Silicon					

Notes:

LDC #: 124484
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{\text{Found}}{\text{True}} \times 100$$

Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated %R	Reported %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	Cr	50.7	50.0	101.4	101.4	Y
	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
CCV	ICP/MS (Continuing calibration)	Cr	51.94	50.0	103.9	103.8	Y
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)						
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
	Cyanide (Initial calibration)						
	Cyanide (Continuing calibation)						

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

CALCLC.4SW

LDC #: 12484B4
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MM
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recaluculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD / %D	Reported %R / RPD / %D	Acceptable (Y/N)
NA ~~ICSA~~	ICP interference check						
LCS	Laboratory control sample	Cr	50.3	50	101	101	Y
6	Matrix spike	↓	(SSR-SR) 46.9	50	94	94	↓
MW-22-3	Duplicate	↓	9.84	10.01	1.7	1.8	↓
MW-22-3	ICP serial dilution	↓	7.69	10.01	23.2	23.5	↓

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.4SW

LDC #: 12484 B4
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for _____ were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = (RD)(FV)(Dil) / (In. Vol.)(%S)

RD = Raw data concentration
FV = Final volume (ml)
In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
Dil = Dilution factor
%S = Decimal percent solids

Recalculation:

From the raw data

Cr = 28.4482 ug/L

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (ug/L)	Calculated Concentration (ug/L)	Acceptable (Y/N)
3	Cr	28.4	28.4	Y

RECALC.4S2

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 17, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 21, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Chromium

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4096

Sample Identification

DUPE-3-3Q04
MW-8**
MW-10
MW-13

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 4 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 200.8 for Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification flags is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols or is of technical advisory nature.

Blanks are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XIII.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

An initial calibration was performed.

The frequency and analysis criteria of the initial calibration verification (ICV) and continuing calibration verification (CCV) were met.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

Data qualification by the initial, continuing and preparation blanks (ICB/CCB/PBs) was based on the maximum contaminant concentration in the ICB/CCB/PBs in the analysis of each analyte. No contaminant concentrations were found in the initial, continuing and preparation blanks with the following exceptions:

Method Blank ID	Analyte	Maximum Concentration	Associated Samples
ICB/CCB	Chromium	0.244 ug/L	All samples in SDG 04-4096

Sample concentrations were compared to the maximum contaminant concentrations detected in the ICB/CCB/PBs. The sample concentrations were either not detected or were significantly greater (>5X blank contaminants) than the concentrations found in the associated method blanks.

IV. ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis

ICP interference check sample analysis was not required.

V. Matrix Spike Analysis

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VI. Duplicate Sample Analysis

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Results were within QC limits.

VII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Internal Standards

All internal standard percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

IX. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC

Graphite furnace atomic absorption was not utilized in this SDG.

X. ICP Serial Dilution

Although ICP serial dilution analysis was not required by the method, it was performed by the laboratory. The analysis criteria were met with the following exceptions:

Diluted Sample	Analyte	%D (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
MW-22-3L	Chromium	23.5 (≤10)	All samples in SDG 04-4096	J (all detects)	A

XI. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications met validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of this report.

XIII. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-3-3Q04 and MW-10 were identified as field duplicates. No chromium was detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-3-3Q04	MW-10	
Chromium	23.8	24.2	2

XIV. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Chromium - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4096

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-4096	DUPE-3-3Q04 MW-8** MW-10 MW-13	Chromium	J (all detects)	A	ICP serial dilution (%D)

NASA JPL
Chromium - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-1	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**DUPE-6-3Q04**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	23.8	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL; B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control; * - Duplicate analysis out of control; W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control; E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/2/2004

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-2	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-8**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
.CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	9.8 J		MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control
* - Duplicate analysis out of control
W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control
E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA
F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-3	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-10**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	–
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	24.2	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control
* - Duplicate analysis out of control
W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control
E - Serial dilution difference out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA
F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/20/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Metal Analysis Results

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Collection Date:	08/17/2004
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM
		Lab Sample ID:	04-4096-4	Received Date:	08/17/2004
Sample ID:	**MW-13**	Sample Matrix	Water	Moisture %:	-
Sample Type:	Field Sample				

Element Name	CAS No	Unit	RL	Result	C	M	Q	Batch	D-Date	A-Date	DF	Method
CHROMIUM		μg/L	0.1	26.1	J	MS		04M1875Q	08/25/04	08/26/04	1	200.8

Note: RL: PQL (EQL) or CRDL D-Date: Digestion Date; A-Date: Analysis Date; DF: Dilution Factor

C Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than IDL

Q Qualifier: N - Spike recovery out of control

W - Post digestion spike for GFAA out of control

M Qualifier: MS - ICPMS P - ICP A - FLAA

B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than IDL.

* - Duplicate analysis out of control

E - Serial dilution difference out of control

F - GFAA CV - Cold Vapor

9/22/04

LDC #: 12484C4

SDG #: 04-4096

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III / IV

Date: 9/21/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: MM

2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Chromium (EPA Method 200.8)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/17/04
II.	Calibration	A	
III.	Blanks	SW	
IV.	ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Analysis	N	Not required
V.	Matrix Spike Analysis	A	
VI.	Duplicate Sample Analysis	A	
VII.	Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)	A	LCS/LCSD
VIII.	Internal Standard (ICP-MS)	A	
IX.	Furnace Atomic Absorption QC	N	Not utilized
X.	ICP Serial Dilution	SW	
XI.	Sample Result Verification	A	
XII.	Overall Assessment of Data	A	
XIII.	Field Duplicates	SW	(1,3)
XIV.	Field Blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:

1	DUPE-3-3Q04	11		21		31	
2	MW-8**	12		22		32	
3	MW-10	13		23		33	
4	MW-13	14		24		34	
5	PB	15		25		35	
6		16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 12484 c4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Metals (EPA SW ~~826~~ 4 Method 6010/7000/6020)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% (80-120% for mercury and 85-115% for cyanide) QC limits?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Was a midrange cyanide standard distilled?			✓	
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.	✓			
IV. ICP Interference Check Sample				
Were ICP interference check samples performed daily?			✓	
Were the AB solution percent recoveries (%R) with the 80-120% QC limits?			✓	
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of +/- RL(+/-2X RL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the RL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the RL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% QC limits for water samples and laboratory established QC limits for soils?	✓			
VI. Furnace Atomic Absorption QC				
If MSA was performed, was the correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?			✓	
Do all applicable analysies have duplicate injections?			✓	

LDC #: 12484C4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MV
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
For sample concentrations > RL, are applicable duplicate injection RSD values < 20%?			✓	
Were analytical spike recoveries within the 85-115% QC limits?			✓	
VII. ICP Serial Dilution				
Was an ICP serial dilution analyzed if analyte concentrations were > ~~50X~~ 100X the IDL?	✓			
Were all percent differences (%Ds) ≤ 10%?		✓		
Was there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.		✓		
VIII. Internal Standards (EPA SW-846 Method 6020)				
Were all the percent recoveries (%R) within the ~~30-120%~~ 60-125% of the intensity of the internal standard in the associated initial calibration?	✓			
If the %Rs were outside the criteria, was a reanalysis performed?			✓	
IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	
X. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
XI. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
XII. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
XIII. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 12484C4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
PB/ICB/CCB QUALIFIED SAMPLES

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MVR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000) Soil preparation factor applied: _____
Sample Concentration units, unless otherwise noted: ug/L Associated Samples: All

					Sample Identification										
Analyte	Maximum PB[a] (mg/Kg)	Maximum PB[a] (ug/L)	Maximum ICB/CCB[a] (ug/L)	Blank Action Limit											
Al															Al
Sb						No	Samples	qualified							Sb
As															As
Ba															Ba
Be															Be
Cd															Cd
Ca															Ca
Cr			0.244	1.22											Cr
Co															Co
Cu															Cu
Fe															Fe
Pb															Pb
Mg															Mg
Mn															Mn
Hg															Hg
Ni															Ni
K															K
Se															Se
Ag															Ag
Na															Na
Tl															Tl
V															V
Zn															Zn
B															B
Mo															Mo
Sr															Sr

Samples with analyte concentrations within five times the associated ICB, CCB or PB concentration are listed above with the identifications from the Validation Completeness Worksheet. These sample results were qualified as not detected, "U".

Note : a - The listed analyte concentration is the highest ICB, CCB, or PB detected in the analysis of each element.

BLNKSMP.4S2

LDC #: 12484C4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
ICP Serial Dilution

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A If analyte concentrations were > ~~50X~~ 25X the IDL, was an ICP serial dilution analyzed?
Y N N/A Were ICP serial dilution percent differences (%D) ≤10%?
Y N N/A Is there evidence of negative interference? If yes, professional judgement will be used to qualify the data.

LEVEL IV ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Recalculation Worksheet for recalculations.

#	Diluted Sample ID	Matrix	Analyte	%D	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	MW-22-3	AQ	Cr	23.5	All	J/A

Comments:

SDIL4S2

LDC #: 12484C4
SDG #: 04-4996

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Y N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
Y N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD (Limits)	Difference (Limits)	Qualifications
	1	3			
Aluminum					
Antimony					
Arsenic					
Barium					
Beryllium					
Cadmium					
Calcium					
Chromium	23.8	24.2	2		
Cobalt					
Copper					
Iron					
Lead					
Magnesium					
Manganese					
Mercury					
Nickel					
Potassium					
Selenium					
Silver					
Sodium					
Thallium					
Vanadium					
Zinc					
Cyanide					
Boron					
Molybdenum					
Strontium					
Silicon					

Notes:

LDC #: 12484C4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MB
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

An initial and continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found / True x 100 Where, Found = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration (in ug/L) of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Standard ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found (ug/L)	True (ug/L)	Recalculated %R	Reported %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
ICV	ICP/MS (Initial calibration)	Cr	50.7	50.0	101.4	101.4	Y
	GFAA (Initial calibration)						
	CVAA (Initial calibration)						
CCV	ICP/MS (Continuing calibration)	Cr	51.94	50.0	103.9	103.8	Y
	GFAA (Continuing calibration)						
	CVAA (Continuing calibration)						
	Cyanide (Initial calibration)						
	Cyanide (Continuing calibation)						

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

CALCLC.4SW

LDC #: 12484c4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MM
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Percent recoveries (%R) for an ICP interference check sample, a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recaluculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$$

Where, Found = Concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = Concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

An ICP serial dilution percent difference (%D) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%D = \frac{|I-SDR|}{I} \times 100$$

Where, I = Initial Sample Result (mg/L)
SDR = Serial Dilution Result (mg/L) (Instrument Reading x 5)

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S / I (units)	True / D / SDR (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD / %D	Reported %R / RPD / %D	Acceptable (Y/N)
NA ~~DUP~~	ICP interference check						
LCS	Laboratory control sample	Cr	50.3	50	101	101	Y
MW22-3	Matrix spike		(SSR-SR) 46.7	50.0	93	94	
	Duplicate		9.84	10.01	1.7	1.8	
	ICP serial dilution		9.69	10.01	23.2	23.5	

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.4SW

LDC #: 12484C4
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MW
2nd reviewer: //

METHOD: Trace Metals (EPA SW 846 Method 6010/7000)

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments and within the linear range of the ICP?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRDL?

Detected analyte results for 2 were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = (RD)(FV)(Dil) / (In. Vol.)(%S)

RD = Raw data concentration
FV = Final volume (ml)
In. Vol. = Initial volume (ml) or weight (G)
Dil = Dilution factor
%S = Decimal percent solids

Recalculation:

From the raw data
Cr = 9.769 ug/L

Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (ug/L)	Calculated Concentration (ug/L)	Acceptable (Y/N)
2	Cr	9.8	9.8	Y

RECALC.4S2

NASA JPL
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 12484

Wet Chemistry

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 12, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 21, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4044

Sample Identification

EB-8-8/12/04
MW-22-1
MW-22-2
MW-22-3
MW-22-3MS
MW-22-3MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 6 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196 for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-8-8/12/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4044

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4044

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Wet Analysis Results for Method 7196

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Anal. Method	7196
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM

Component Name: Chromium (VI)
CAS No: 1333-82-0

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
04-4044-1	EB-8-8/12/04	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/12/04	04W3602	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4044-2	MW-22-1	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/12/04	04W3602	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4044-3	MW-22-2	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/12/04	04W3602	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4044-4	MW-22-3	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/12/04	04W3602	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04W3602-MB-01	04W3602-MB-01	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/12/04	04W3602	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

9/20/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Wet Analysis Results for Method 314.0

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Anal. Method	314.0
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44044	Collected by:	JJ/MM

Component Name: Perchlorate
CAS No:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
04-4044-1	EB-8-8/12/04	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	<4	U
04-4044-2	MW-22-1	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	2.6	B
04-4044-3	MW-22-2	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	2.8	B
04-4044-4	MW-22-3	Water	08/12/04	08/12/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	<4	U
04W3687-MB-01	04W3687-MB-01	Water	08/23/04	08/23/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	<4	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

9/8/04

LDC #: 12484A6

SDG #: 04-4044

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III

Date: 9/21/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: MY

2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196), Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/12/04
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/MSD
V	Duplicates	N	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	ND	EB=1

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

1	EB-8-8/12/04	11		21		31	
2	MW-22-1	12		22		32	
3	MW-22-2	13		23		33	
4	MW-22-3	14		24		34	
5	MW-22-3MS	15		25		35	
6	MW-22-3MSD	16		26		36	
7	MB	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 12484
SDG #: 04-40

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-4	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} clay ____ ____ ____
2 5,6	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} clay ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____ ____ ____ ____

Comments:

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 16, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 21, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4085

Sample Identification

DUPE-5-3Q04
MW-5
MW-6**
MW-15
MW-16
MW-15MS
MW-15MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 7 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-5-3Q04 and MW-5 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples.

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4085

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4085

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Wet Analysis Results for Method 314.0

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Anal. Method	314.0
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM

Component Name: Perchlorate
CAS No:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
04-4085-1	DUPE-5-3Q04	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	<4	U
04-4085-2	MW-5	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	<4	U
04-4085-3	MW-6	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	3.2	B
04-4085-5	MW-16	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	40	833	
04W3687-MB-01	04W3687-MB-01	Water	08/23/04	08/23/04	08/23/04	04W3687	μg/L	4	<4	U
04W3698-MB-01	04W3698-MB-01	Water	08/24/04	08/24/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	4	<4	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Wet Analysis Results for Method 7196

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Anal. Method	7196
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44085	Collected by:	JJ/MM

Component Name: Chromium (VI)
CAS No: 1333-82-0

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
04-4085-1	DUPE-5-3Q04	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/16/04	04W3639	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4085-2	MW-5	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/16/04	04W3639	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4085-3	MW-6	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/16/04	04W3639	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4085-4	MW-15	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/16/04	04W3639	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4085-5	MW-16	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/16/04	04W3639	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04W3639-MB-01	04W3639-MB-01	Water	08/16/04	08/16/04	08/16/04	04W3639	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

LDC #: 12484B6

SDG #: 04-4085

Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET

Level III/IV

Date: 9/21/04

Page: 1 of 1

Reviewer: MH

2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196), Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/16/04
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/MSD
V	Duplicates	N	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
VII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	(1,2)
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

1	DUPE-5-3Q04	11		21		31	
2	MW-5	12		22		32	
3	MW-6**	13		23		33	
4	MW-15	14		24		34	
5	MW-16	15		25		35	
6	MW-15MS	16		26		36	
7	MW-15MSD	17		27		37	
8	HB	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 24486
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: MR
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See cover

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?			✓	
~~Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?~~				
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 12484B6
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: [illegible]
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓	✓		
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 12484B6
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter				
1-5	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
1-3,5	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}	CEOC			
a-6,7	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}				

Comments:

LDC #: 124846
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of Cr6+ was recalculated. Calibration date: 7/22/04

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found / True x 100

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte		Conc. (mg/L) (units)	Blank (units)	Recalculated r or %R	Reported r or %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
Initial calibration	Cr6+	Blank	0	0	r=0.9998	r=1.00	Y
Calibration verification		Standard 1	0.050	0.043			
		Standard 2	0.100	0.084			
		Standard 3	0.200	0.170			
		Standard 4	0.300	0.254			
		Standard 5	0.500	0.412			
		Standard 6					
		Standard 7					
Calibration verification ICV	Cr6+	0.25	0.254		102	102	Y
Calibration verification CCV	ClO4	50	47.0		94	94	Y
Calibration verification							

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

CALCLC.6

LDC #: 12484 B6
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See Cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$$

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$$

Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD	Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
LCS	Laboratory control sample	Cr04	25.9	25	104	102	Y
6	Matrix spike sample	Cr6+	(SSR-SR) 0.238	0.25	95	95	↓
6/7	Duplicate sample	↓	0.236	0.238	1	1	↓

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.6

LDC #: 12484B6
SDG #: 04-4085

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
(Y) N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
(Y) N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 3 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration =

$CrO_4 = 0.000537 \times Area$

Recalculation:

$CrO_4 = 6006 \times 0.000537$
$= 3.22 ug/L$

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (ug/L)	Calculated Concentration (ug/L)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	3	CrO_4	3.2	3.2	Y

Note:

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: August 17, 2004

LDC Report Date: September 21, 2004

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-4096

Sample Identification

DUPE-3-3Q04
MW-8**
MW-10
MW-13
DUPE-3-3Q04MS
DUPE-3-3Q04MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 6 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-3-3Q04 and MW-10 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	Dupe-7-2Q04	MW-7**	
Perchlorate	25.5	25.3	1

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-4096

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Wet Analysis Results for Method 7196

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Anal. Method	7196
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM

Component Name: Chromium (VI)
CAS No: 1333-82-0

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
04-4096-1	DUPE-6-3Q04	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/17/04	04W3645	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4096-2	MW-8	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/17/04	04W3645	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4096-3	MW-10	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/17/04	04W3645	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
04-4096-4	MW-13	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/17/04	04W3645	mg/L	0.01	0.011	
04W3645-MB-01	04W3645-MB-01	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/17/04	04W3645	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

9/8/04

Applied P & CH Laboratories

Wet Analysis Results for Method 314.0

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	4-12812	Anal. Method	314.0
Project ID:	JPL GW-3Q04	Service ID:	44096	Collected by:	JJ/MM/TM

Component Name: Perchlorate

CAS No:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
04-4096-1	DUPE-6-3Q04	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	4	25.5	
04-4096-2	MW-8	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	4	9.4	
04-4096-3	MW-10	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	4	25.3	
04-4096-4	MW-13	Water	08/17/04	08/17/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	24	296	
04W3698-MB-01	04W3698-MB-01	Water	08/24/04	08/24/04	08/24/04	04W3698	μg/L	4	<4	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.

Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL

B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

9/22/04

LDC #: 12484C6 **VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET** Date: 9/21/04
SDG #: 04-4096 Level III/IV Page: 1 of 1
Laboratory: Applied Physics & Chemistry Laboratory Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: A

METHOD: Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196), Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 8/17/04
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	A	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/MSD
V	Duplicates	N	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
VII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	(1,3)
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable; N = Not provided/applicable; SW = See worksheet; ND = No compounds detected; R = Rinsate; FB = Field blank; D = Duplicate; TB = Trip blank; EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation

1	DUPE-3-3Q04	11		21		31	
2	MW-8**	12		22		32	
3	MW-10	13		23		33	
4	MW-13	14		24		34	
5	DUPE-3-3Q04MS	15		25		35	
6	DUPE-3-3Q04MSD	16		26		36	
7	MB	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 12484C46
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Page: 1 of 2
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See cover

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?			✓	
~~Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC~~ limits?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.		✓		non client for clay
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?			✓	
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 12484c#6
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: 4B
2nd Reviewer: /

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VI. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 12484C6
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer: K

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1-4	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} (clay)
02-5,6	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}

Comments:

LDC #: 12484C6
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer: ✓

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

(Y) N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
(Y) N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD (Limit)	Difference (Limit)	Qualifier
	1	3			
cl04	25.5	25.3	1		

Analyte	Concentration ( )		RPD (Limit)	Difference (Limit)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ( )		RPD (Limit)	Difference (Limit)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ( )		RPD (Limit)	Difference (Limit)	Qualifier

LDC #: 12484cb
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of Cd04 was recalculated. Calibration date: 2/3/04

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found / True x 100

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte		conc. (ug/L) (units)	Area (units)	Recalculated r or %R	Reported r or %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
Initial calibration		Blank					
Calibration verification		Standard 1	2	3089			
		Standard 2	10	16874.3			
		Standard 3	25	40814.65			
	Cd04	Standard 4	50	94273.0	r^2=0.999071	r^2=0.998508	Y
		Standard 5	75	140396.3			
		Standard 6	100	186528.45			
		Standard 7					
Calibration verification CCV	Cr6+	0.25	0.249		100	100	Y
Calibration verification CCV	Cd04	50	51.12		102	102	
Calibration verification							

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

CALCLC.6

LDC #: 12484 c6
SDG #: 04-4096

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See Cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD	Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
LCS	Laboratory control sample	clO4 ~~Cr6+~~ HM	29.8	25	119	119	Y
S	Matrix spike sample	Cr6+	(SSR-SR) 0.238	0.25	95	95	↓
S/6	Duplicate sample	↓	0.234	0.238	2	1	↓

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

TOTCLC.6

LDC #: 12484C6
SDG #: 04-40916

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MH
2nd reviewer:

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

Y N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
Y N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
Y N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for 2 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $ClO_4 = 0.000537 \times Area$.

Recalculation: $ClO_4 = 17550 \times 0.000537 = 9.42$ ug/L

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (ug/L)	Calculated Concentration (ug/L)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	2	ClO_4	9.4	9.42	Y

Note:

RECALC.6