Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: November 6, 2003

LDC Report Date: December 22, 2003

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 03-6002

Sample Identification

Dupe-7-4-Q03**
MW-5
MW-8
MW-10
MW-5MS
MW-5MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 6 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/10/03	CCV3	Perchlorate	114 (90-110)	Dupe-7-4-Q03** MW-8	J (all detects)	P
11/11/03	CCV1	Perchlorate	114 (90-110)	MW-5 MW-10	J (all detects)	P
11/11/03	CCV2	Perchlorate	115 (90-110)	MW-5MS MW-5MSD	J (all detects)	P

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples Dupe-7-4-Q03** and MW-8 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration		RPD
	Dupe-7-4-Q03**	MW-8	
Hexavalent chromium	0.01U mg/L	0.008 mg/L	200
Perchlorate	20.2 ug/L	20.2 ug/L	0

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 03-6002

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
03-6002	Dupe-7-4-Q03** MW-8 MW-5 MW-10	Perchlorate	J (all detects)	P	Calibration verification (%R)

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 03-6002

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

C

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Wet Analysis Results for Method 7196

Client Name: GEOFON, Inc. Project No: 04-4428.10 Anal. Method 7196
Project ID: JPL GW Mon-4Q03 Service ID: 36002 Collected by:

Component Name: Chromium (VI)
CAS No: 1333-82-0

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
03-6002-1	DUPE-7-4-Q03	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/06/03	03W5082	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03-6002-2	MW-5	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/06/03	03W5082	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03-6002-3	MW-8	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/06/03	03W5082	mg/L	0.01	0.0080	B
03-6002-4	MW-10	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/06/03	03W5082	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03W5082-MB-01	03W5082-MB-01	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/06/03	03W5082	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Wet Analysis Results for Method 314.0

Client Name: GEOFON, Inc. Project No: 04-4428.10 Anal. Method 314.0
Project ID: JPL GW Mon-4Q03 Service ID: 36002 Collected by:

Component Name: Perchlorate
CAS No:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
03-6002-1	DUPE-7-4-Q03	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/10/03	03W5108	µg/L	4	20.2	
03-6002-2	MW-5	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U
03-6002-3	MW-8	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/10/03	03W5108	µg/L	4	20.2	
03-6002-4	MW-10	Water	11/06/03	11/06/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	21.9	
03W5108-MB-01	03W5108-MB-01	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/10/03	03W5108	µg/L	4	<4	U
03W5120-MB-01	03W5120-MB-01	Water	11/11/03	11/11/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Level III/IV

Date: 12-22-03
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MM

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0), Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 11-6-03
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	SW	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/MSD (SDG: 03-5973
V	Duplicates	A	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
VII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	SW	D = 1+3
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation.
all water

1	Dupe-7-4-Q03**	11		21		31	
2	MW-5	12		22		32	
3	MW-8	13		23		33	
4	MW-10	14		24		34	
5	MW-5MS	15		25		35	
6	MW-5MSD	16		26		36	
7	PBW1	17		27		37	
8	PBW2	18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: My

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method see cover)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?		✓		
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?			✓	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓2			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MY

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.	✓			
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: MY

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1 → 4	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (CR^{6+}) (ClO4) ____ ____ ____
QC 5, 6	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (CR^{6+}) (ClO4) ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+} ____

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Calibration

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MG

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See Cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used?
Y (N) N/A Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110% ?
(Y) N N/A Are all correlation coefficients $\geq$0.995 ?

LEVEL IV/D ONLY:

(Y) N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.
Y N (N/A) Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?
Y N (N/A) Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	11-10-03	CCV (3)	ClO_4	114 (90-110)	all	J dets / P
2	11-11-03	CCV (1)	ClO_4	114 ( )	2, 4	
3	11-11-03	CCV (2)	ClO_4	115 ( )	5, 6	

Comments:

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Field Duplicates

Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: MM

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

(Y) N N/A Were field duplicate pairs identified in this SDG?
(Y) N N/A Were target analytes detected in the field duplicate pairs?

Analyte	Concentration (mg/L)		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier
	1	3		
Cr VI	0.01 U	0.008	200	
ClO_4	20.2 (mg/L)	20.2 (mg/L)	0	

Analyte	Concentration ( )		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ( )		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

Analyte	Concentration ( )		RPD (Limits)	Qualifier

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MG

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of ClO_4 was recalculated. Calibration date: 3-12-03

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte		Conc (units)	Area (units)	Recalculated r or %R	Reported r or %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
Initial calibration	Cr VI	Blank	0 (µg/L)	0	r^2=0.999682	r^2=0.999492 (force through zero)	Y
Calibration verification		Standard 1	2 ( )	2910			
		Standard 2	10 ( )	16917			
		Standard 3	25 ( )	40702			
		Standard 4	50 ( )	mg. ~~126324~~ 83340			
		Standard 5	75 ( )	126224			
		Standard 6	100 (↓)	171686			
		Standard 7	–				
Calibration verification	Cr VI	CCV 1	0.251 (mg/L)	0.25 (mg/L)	100	100	
Calibration verification	ClO_4	CCV(3)	57.11 (µg/L)	50 (µg/L)	114	114	
Calibration verification	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MG

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD	Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
LCS	Laboratory control sample	Cr VI	0.242 (mg/L)	0.25 (mg/L)	97	97	Y
MW-3-1	Matrix spike sample	ClO_4	(SSR-SR) 26.20 (µg/L)	25 (µg/L)	105	105	
5/6	Duplicate sample	Cr VI	0.226 (mg/L)	0.233 (mg/L)	3	3	

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 11280C6
SDG #: 03-6002

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: MH

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
(Y) N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
(Y) N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for #1, ClO_4 reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation:

Concentration = $y = mx + b$
where $m = 0.0005893$
x = area
$b = 0$

Recalculation:
$ClO_4 = 0.0005893 (34253.20) + 0 = 20.185$ µg/L

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration (µg/L)	Calculated Concentration (µg/L)	Acceptable (Y/N)
1	1	ClO_4	20.2	20.2	Y

Note: _____

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: November 7, 2003

LDC Report Date: December 22, 2003

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 03-6034

Sample Identification

DUPE-2-4-Q03**
MW-6
MW-13
MW-15
MW-13MS
MW-13MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 6 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/11/03	CCV1	Perchlorate	114 (90-110)	MW-13	J (all detects)	P
11/11/03	CCV2	Perchlorate	115 (90-110)	DUPE-2-4-Q03** MW-6 MW-15 MW-13MS MW-13MSD	J (all detects)	P

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-2-4-Q03** and MW-15 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples.

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 03-6034

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
03-6034	MW-13 DUPE-2-4-Q03** MW-6 MW-15	Perchlorate	J (all detects)	P	Calibration verification (%R)

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 03-6034

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

D

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Wet Analysis Results for Method 7196

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	04-4428.10	Anal. Method	7196
Project ID:	JPL	Service ID:	36034	Collected by:	JR

Component Name: Chromium (VI)
CAS No: 1333-82-0

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
03-6034-1	DUPE-2-4-Q03	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/07/03	03W5092	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03-6034-2	MW-6	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/07/03	03W5092	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03-6034-3	MW-13	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/07/03	03W5092	mg/L	0.01	0.020	
03-6034-4	MW-15	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/07/03	03W5092	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03W5092-MB-01	03W5092-MB-01	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/07/03	03W5092	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Wet Analysis Results for Method 314.0

Client Name: GEOFON, Inc. Project No: 04-4428.10 Anal. Method 314.0
Project ID: JPL Service ID: 36034 Collected by: JR

Component Name: Perchlorate
CAS No:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
03-6034-1	DUPE-2-4-Q03	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U
03-6034-2	MW-6	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	3.6	B
03-6034-3	MW-13	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	20	223	
03-6034-4	MW-15	Water	11/07/03	11/07/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U
03W5120-MB-01	03W5120-MB-01	Water	11/11/03	11/11/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

11/18

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Level III/IV

Date: 12-22-03
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MM

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0), Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 11-7-03
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	SW	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	} MS/MSD
V	Duplicates	A	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
VII.	Sample result verification	A	Not reviewed for Level III validation.
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	ND	D = 1+4
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples: ** Indicates sample underwent Level IV validation.
all water

1	DUPE-2-4-Q03**	11		21		31	
2	MW-6	12		22		32	
3	MW-13	13		23		33	
4	MW-15	14		24		34	
5	MW-13MS	15		25		35	
6	MW-13MSD	16		26		36	
7	PBW	17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MM

Method: Inorganics (EPA Method See cover)

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
I. Technical holding times				
All technical holding times were met.	✓			
Cooler temperature criteria was met.	✓			
II. Calibration				
Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time?	✓			
Were the proper number of standards used?	✓			
Were all initial calibration correlation coefficients ≥ 0.995?	✓			
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?		✓		
Were titrant checks performed as required?			✓	
Were balance checks performed as required?			✓	
Were all initial and continuing calibration verification %Rs within the 90-110% QC limits?	✓			
III. Blanks				
Was a method blank associated with every sample in this SDG?	✓			
Was there contamination in the method blanks? If yes, please see the Blanks validation completeness worksheet.		✓		
IV. Matrix spike/Matrix spike duplicates and Duplicates				
Were a matrix spike (MS) and duplicate (DUP) analyzed for each matrix in this SDG? If no, indicate which matrix does not have an associated MS/MSD or MS/DUP. Soil / Water.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD percent recoveries (%R) and the relative percent differences (RPD) within the 75-125 QC limits? If the sample concentration exceeded the spike concentration by a factor of 4 or more, no action was taken.	✓			
Were the MS/MSD or duplicate relative percent differences (RPD) ≤ 20% for waters and ≤ 35% for soil samples? A control limit of ≤ CRDL(≤ 2X CRDL for soil) was used for samples that were ≤ 5X the CRDL, including when only one of the duplicate sample values were ≤ 5X the CRDL.	✓			
V. Laboratory control samples				
Was an LCS anaylzed for this SDG?	✓			
Was an LCS analyzed per extraction batch?	✓			
Were the LCS percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) within the 80-120% (85-115% for Method 300.0) QC limits?	✓			
VI. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control				
Were performance evaluation (PE) samples performed?		✓		
Were the performance evaluation (PE) samples within the acceptance limits?			✓	

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS CHECKLIST

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MM

Validation Area	Yes	No	NA	Findings/Comments
VII. Sample Result Verification				
Were RLs adjusted to reflect all sample dilutions and dry weight factors applicable to level IV validation?	✓			
Were detection limits < RL?	✓			
VIII. Overall assessment of data				
Overall assessment of data was found to be acceptable.	✓			
IX. Field duplicates				
Field duplicate pairs were identified in this SDG.	✓			
Target analytes were detected in the field duplicates.		✓		
X. Field blanks				
Field blanks were identified in this SDG.		✓		
Target analytes were detected in the field blanks.			✓	

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: MM

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1→4	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (Cr^{6+}) (ClO4) ____ ____ ____
QC 5,6	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (Cr^{6+}) (ClO4) ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC Cr^{6+} ____

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Calibration

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MG

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used?
Y (N) N/A Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110% ?
(Y) N N/A Are all correlation coefficients ≥0.995 ?

LEVEL IV/D ONLY:

(Y) N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.
Y N (N/A) Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?
Y N (N/A) Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	11-11-03	CCV (1)	ClO4	114 (90-110)	3	J dets / P
2	11-11-03	CCV (2)	ClO4	115 (↓)	1,2,4,5,6	↓

Comments:

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Initial and Continuing Calibration Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MH

METHOD: Inorganics, Method See cover

The correlation coefficient (r) for the calibration of Cr VI was recalculated. Calibration date: 7-28-03

An initial or continuing calibration verification percent recovery (%R) was recalculated for each type of analysis using the following formula:

%R = Found x 100 / True

Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the ICV or CCV solution
True = concentration of each analyte in the ICV or CCV source

Type of Analysis	Analyte	~~Conc~~ Conc Abs (units)		Abs (units)	Recalculated r or %R	Reported r or %R	Acceptable (Y/N)
Initial calibration	Cr VI	Blank	0.0 (mg/L)	0.000	r=0.9999	r=0.9999	Y
Calibration verification		Standard 1	0.0125 (	0.007			
		Standard 2	0.025 (	0.017			
		Standard 3	0.125 (	0.107			
		Standard 4	0.250 (	0.212			
		Standard 5	0.50 (	0.420			
		Standard 6	–				
		Standard 7	–				
Calibration verification	ClO4	CCV(1)	57.28 (µg/L)	50 (µg/L)	115	115	
Calibration verification	Cr VI	CCV(1)	0.2482 (mg/L)	0.25 (mg/L)	99	99	
Calibration verification	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

Comments: Refer to Calibration Verification findings worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Level IV Recalculation Worksheet

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MH

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Percent recoveries (%R) for a laboratory control sample and a matrix spike sample were recalculated using the following formula:

$\%R = \frac{Found}{True} \times 100$ Where, Found = concentration of each analyte measured in the analysis of the sample. For the matrix spike calculation, Found = SSR (spiked sample result) - SR (sample result).
True = concentration of each analyte in the source.

A sample and duplicate relative percent difference (RPD) was recalculated using the following formula:

$RPD = \frac{|S-D|}{(S+D)/2} \times 100$ Where, S = Original sample concentration
D = Duplicate sample concentration

Sample ID	Type of Analysis	Element	Found / S (units)	True / D (units)	Recalculated %R / RPD	Reported %R / RPD	Acceptable (Y/N)
LCS	Laboratory control sample	ClO_4	28.76 (µg/L)	25 (µg/L)	115	115	Y
5	Matrix spike sample	Cr VI	(SSR-SR) 0.2046 ~~0.2246~~ (mg/L)	0.25 (mg/L)	82	82	
5/6	Duplicate sample	ClO_4	250.92 (µg/L)	263.28 (µg/L)	5	5	

Comments: Refer to appropriate worksheet for list of qualifications and associated samples when reported results do not agree within 10.0% of the recalculated results.

LDC #: 11280D6
SDG #: 03-6034

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Calculation Verification

Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: MG

METHOD: Inorganics, Method see cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Have results been reported and calculated correctly?
(Y) N N/A Are results within the calibrated range of the instruments?
(Y) N N/A Are all detection limits below the CRQL?

Compound (analyte) results for level IV sample = N.D. ~~reported with a positive detect were recalculated and verified using the following equation~~:

Concentration = Recalculation:

#	Sample ID	Analyte	Reported Concentration ( )	Calculated Concentration ( )	Acceptable (Y/N)

Note: _____

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL

Collection Date: November 10, 2003

LDC Report Date: December 22, 2003

Matrix: Water

Parameters: Wet Chemistry

Validation Level: EPA Level III

Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 03-6047

Sample Identification

MW-1
MW-7
MW-16
MW-1MS
MW-1MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 5 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.

J Indicates an estimated value.

R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.

N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.

UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.

A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.

P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.

None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable with the following exceptions:

Date	Lab. Reference/ID	Analyte	%R (Limits)	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
11/11/03	CCV2	Perchlorate	115 (90-110)	MW-1	J (all detects)	P
11/11/03	CCV3	Perchlorate	114 (90-110)	MW-7 MW-16	J (all detects)	P

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

Duplicate (DUP) sample analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 03-6047

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P	Reason
03-6047	MW-1 MW-7 MW-16	Perchlorate	J (all detects)	P	Calibration verification (%R)

NASA JPL
Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 03-6047

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

E

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Wet Analysis Results for Method 7196

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	04-4428.10	Anal. Method	7196
Project ID:	JPL	Service ID:	36047	Collected by:	JR

Component Name: Chromium (VI)
CAS No: 1333-82-0

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
03-6047-1	MW-1	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/10/03	03W5105	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03-6047-2	MW-7	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/10/03	03W5105	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03-6047-3	MW-16	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/10/03	03W5105	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U
03W5105-MB-01	03W5105-MB-01	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/10/03	03W5105	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Wet Analysis Results for Method 314.0

Client Name:	GEOFON, Inc.	Project No:	04-4428.10	Anal. Method	314.0
Project ID:	JPL	Service ID:	36047	Collected by:	JR

Component Name: Perchlorate
CAS No:

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Coll. Date	Rcv Date	Anal. Date	Batch	Unit	RL	Result	Q
03-6047-1	MW-1	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U
03-6047-2	MW-7	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	200	2400	
03-6047-3	MW-16	Water	11/10/03	11/10/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	100	1360	
03W5120-MB-01	03W5120-MB-01	Water	11/11/03	11/11/03	11/11/03	03W5120	µg/L	4	<4	U

Not Detected is shown as PQL, with dilution and moisture corrected if applicable.

Note: Q - Qualifier.
Qualifier: U - Not Detected or less than MDL
B - Less than RL (PQL, EQL or CRDL), but greater than MDL.

LDC #: 11280E6
SDG #: 03-6047
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

VALIDATION COMPLETENESS WORKSHEET
Level III

Date: 12-22-03
Page: 1 of 1
Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: [illegible]

METHOD: (Analyte) Perchlorate (EPA Method 314.0), Hexavalent Chromium (EPA SW846 Method 7196A)

The samples listed below were reviewed for each of the following validation areas. Validation findings are noted in attached validation findings worksheets.

	Validation Area		Comments
I.	Technical holding times	A	Sampling dates: 11-10-03
IIa.	Initial calibration	A	
IIb.	Calibration verification	SW	
III.	Blanks	A	
IV	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates	A	MS/MSD (SDG: 03-6002)
V	Duplicates	A	
VI.	Laboratory control samples	A	LCS/LCSD
VII.	Sample result verification	N	
VIII.	Overall assessment of data	A	
IX.	Field duplicates	N	
X	Field blanks	N	

Note: A = Acceptable
N = Not provided/applicable
SW = See worksheet
ND = No compounds detected
R = Rinsate
FB = Field blank
D = Duplicate
TB = Trip blank
EB = Equipment blank

Validated Samples:
all water

1	MW-1	11		21		31	
2	MW-7	12		22		32	
3	MW-16	13		23		33	
4	MW-1MS	14		24		34	
5	MW-1MSD	15		25		35	
6	PBW	16		26		36	
7		17		27		37	
8		18		28		38	
9		19		29		39	
10		20		30		40	

Notes:

LDC #: 11280E6
SDG #: 03-6047

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Sample Specific Analysis Reference

Reviewer: MG
2nd reviewer: MM

All circled methods are applicable to each sample.

Sample ID	Parameter
1 → 3	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (CR^{6+}) (ClO4) ____ ____ ____
QC 4,5	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC (CR^{6+}) ____ ____ ____ ____
	pH TDS Cl F NO_3 NO_2 SO_4 PO_4 ALK CN^- NH_3 TKN TOC CR^{6+}

LDC #: 11280E6
SDG #: 03-6047

VALIDATION FINDINGS WORKSHEET
Calibration

Reviewer: MG
2nd Reviewer: MM

METHOD: Inorganics, EPA Method See cover

Please see qualifications below for all questions answered "N". Not applicable questions are identified as "N/A".

(Y) N N/A Were all instruments calibrated daily, each set-up time, and were the proper number of standards used?
Y (N) N/A Were all initial and continuing calibration verification percent recoveries (%R) within the control limits of 90-110% ?
(Y) N N/A Are all correlation coefficients $\geq$0.995 ?

LEVEL IV/D ONLY:

Y N N/A Were recalculated results acceptable? See Level IV Initial and Continuing Calibration Recaluculation Worksheet for recalulations.
Y N N/A Was a balance check conducted prior to the TDS analysis.?
Y N N/A Was the titrant normality checked?

#	Date	Calibration ID	Analyte	%R	Associated Samples	Qualifications
1	11-11-03	CCV (2)	ClO_4	115 (90-110)	1	J dets / P
2	11-11-03	CCV (3)	ClO_4	114 (↓)	2,3	↓

Comments: