


APPENDIX D

DATA VALIDATION REPORTS



LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc.
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
ATTN: Mr. Tony Ford

March 19, 2004

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #01, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Ford,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on March 15, 2004. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 11669:

<u>SDG #</u>	<u>Fraction</u>
04-1520, 04-1561, 04-1539	Volatiles, Wet Chemistry

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, February 1994
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

NASA JPL
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 11669

Volatiles

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 10, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 17, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Volatiles
Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1520

Sample Identification

DUPE-1-1Q04**
EB-1-2/10/04
MW-3-2
MW-3-3
MW-3-4
MW-21-1
MW-21-2
MW-21-3
MW-21-4
MW-21-5
TB-1-2/10/04
MW-21-3MS
MW-21-3MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 13 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% .

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-1-1Q04** and MW-3-2 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-1-1Q04**	MW-3-2	
Carbon tetrachloride	1.0	1.0	0
Chloroform	0.5	0.4	22
Trichloroethene	0.6	0.6	0

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-1-2/10/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-1-2/10/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank with the following exceptions:

Equipment Blank ID	Compound	Concentration (ug/L)
EB-1-2/10/04	Ethylbenzene	0.4
	Toluene	1.1

NASA JPL

Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1520

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL

Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1520

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 12, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 17, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Volatiles
Validation Level: EPA Level III
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1561

Sample Identification

EB-3-2/12-04
MW-20-1
MW-20-2
MW-20-3
MW-20-4
MW-20-5
TB-3-2/12-04
MW-20-1MS
MW-20-1MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 9 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
2/14/04	Chloroethane	32.07	All samples in SDG 04-1561	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-3-2/12-04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-3-2/12-04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1561**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-1561	EB-3-2/12-04 MW-20-1 MW-20-2 MW-20-3 MW-20-4 MW-20-5 TB-3-2/12-04	Chloroethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1561**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 11, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 17, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Volatiles
Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1539

Sample Identification

DUPE-2-1Q04**
EB-2-2/11/04
MW-17-2
MW-17-3
MW-17-4
MW-19-1
MW-19-2
MW-19-3
MW-19-4
MW-19-5
TB-2-2/11/04

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 11 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
2/14/04	Chloroethane	32.07	EB-2-2/11/04 MW-19-4 MW-19-5 TB-2-2/11/04 04G1401-MB-01	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-2-1Q04** and MW-19-3 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-2-1Q04**	MW-19-3	
Chlorodibromomethane	0.9	0.9	0
Chloroform	0.4	0.5U	200
Trichloroethene	1.1	0.7	44

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-2-2/11/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-2-2/11/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1539**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-1539	EB-2-2/11/04 MW-19-4 MW-19-5 TB-2-2/11/04	Chloroethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1539**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**NASA JPL
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 11669**

Wet Chemistry

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 10, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 18, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Wet Chemistry
Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1520

Sample Identification

DUPE-1-1Q04**
EB-1-2/10/04
MW-3-2
MW-3-3
MW-3-4
MW-21-1
MW-21-2
MW-21-3
MW-21-4
MW-21-5
MW-21-3MS
MW-21-3MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-1-1Q04** and MW-3-2 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-1-1Q04**	MW-3-2	
Perchlorate	10.4	10.3	1

X. Field Blanks

No field blanks were identified in this SDG.

NASA JPL

Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1520

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL

Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1520

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 12, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 18, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Wet Chemistry
Validation Level: EPA Level III
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1561

Sample Identification

EB-3-2/12-04
MW-20-1
MW-20-2
MW-20-3
MW-20-4
MW-20-5
MW-20-1MS
MW-20-1MSD

Introduction

This data review covers 8 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-3-2/12-04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL

Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1561

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL

Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1561

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 11, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 18, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Wet Chemistry
Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1539

Sample Identification

DUPE-2-1Q04**
EB-2-2/11/04
MW-17-2
MW-17-3
MW-17-4
MW-19-1
MW-19-2
MW-19-3
MW-19-4
MW-19-5
MW-17-4MS
MW-17-4MSD
EB-2-2/11/04MS
EB-2-2/11/04MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 14 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 314.0 for Perchlorate and EPA SW 846 Method 7196A for Hexavalent Chromium.

The review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review (February 1994) as there are no current guidelines for the methods stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section III.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section IX.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. Calibration

a. Initial Calibration

All criteria for the initial calibration were met.

b. Calibration Verification

Calibration verification frequency and analysis criteria were met for each method when applicable.

III. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No contaminant concentrations were found in the method blanks.

IV. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

V. Duplicates

The laboratory has indicated that there were no duplicate (DUP) analyses specified for the samples in this SDG, and therefore duplicate analyses were not performed for this SDG.

VI. Laboratory Control Samples

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VII. Sample Result Verification

All sample result verifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

VIII. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags are summarized at the end of this report.

IX. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-2-1Q04** and MW-19-3 were identified as field duplicates. No contaminant concentrations were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Analyte	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-2-1Q04**	MW-19-3	
Perchlorate	5.3	4.2	23

X. Field Blanks

Sample EB-2-2/11/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No contaminant concentrations were found in this blank.

NASA JPL


Wet Chemistry - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1539

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

NASA JPL

Wet Chemistry - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1539

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG



LABORATORY DATA CONSULTANTS, INC.

7750 El Camino Real, Suite 2L Carlsbad, CA 92009 Phone: 760/634-0437 Fax: 760/634-0439

Geofon, Inc.
22632 Golden Springs Drive, Suite 270
Diamond Bar, CA 91765
ATTN: Mr. Scott Brehmer

March 29, 2004

SUBJECT: NASA JPL, DO #01, Data Validation

Dear Mr. Brehmer,

Enclosed are the final validation reports for the fractions listed below. These SDGs were received on March 24, 2004. Attachment 1 is a summary of the samples that were reviewed for each analysis.

LDC Project # 11714:

<u>SDG #</u>	<u>Fraction</u>
04-1727, 04-1664, 04-1606, 04-1644	Volatiles, Wet Chemistry

The data validation was performed under EPA Level III and Level IV guidelines. The analyses were validated using the following documents, as applicable to each method:

- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review, October 1999
- USEPA, Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, February 1994
- EPA SW 846, Third Edition, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, update 1, July 1992; update IIA, August 1993; update II, September 1994; update IIB, January 1995; update III, December 1996

Please feel free to contact us if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Erlinda T. Rauto
Operations Manager/Senior Chemist

**NASA, JPL
Data Validation Reports
LDC# 11714**

Volatiles

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 20, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 25, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Volatiles
Validation Level: EPA Level III
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1727

Sample Identification

EB-7-2/20/04
MW-24-1
MW-24-3
TB-7-2/20/04

Introduction

This data review covers 4 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG. The review was based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
2/24/04	Bromomethane	34.78	All samples in SDG 04-1727	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XIV. System Performance

Raw data were not reviewed for this SDG.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

No field duplicates were identified in this SDG.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-7-2/20/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-7-2/20/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1727**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-1727	EB-7-2/20/04 MW-24-1 MW-24-3 TB-7-2/20/04	Bromomethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1727**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 19, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 25, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Volatiles
Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1664

Sample Identification

DUPE-5-1Q04**
EB-6-2/19/04
MW-4-1
MW-4-2
MW-4-3
MW-11-1
MW-11-2
MW-11-3
MW-11-4
TB-6-2/19/04
MW-4-3MS
MW-4-3MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 12 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UU Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
2/24/04	Bromomethane	34.78	All samples in SDG 04-1664	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-5-1Q04** and MW-11-4 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples.

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-6-2/19/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-6-2/19/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1664**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-1664	DUPE-5-1Q04** EB-6-2/19/04 MW-4-1 MW-4-2 MW-4-3 MW-11-1 MW-11-2 MW-11-3 MW-11-4 TB-6-2/19/04	Bromomethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1664**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG

**Laboratory Data Consultants, Inc.
Data Validation Report**

Project/Site Name: NASA JPL
Collection Date: February 16, 2004
LDC Report Date: March 25, 2004
Matrix: Water
Parameters: Volatiles
Validation Level: EPA Level III & IV
Laboratory: Applied P & Ch Laboratory
Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04-1606

Sample Identification

DUPE-3-1Q04**
EB-4-2/16/04
MW-14-1
MW-14-2
MW-14-3
MW-14-4
MW-14-5
MW-18-2
MW-18-3
MW-18-4
MW-18-5
TB-4-2/16/04
MW-18-5MS
MW-18-5MSD

**Indicates sample underwent EPA Level IV review

Introduction

This data review covers 14 water samples listed on the cover sheet including dilutions and reanalysis as applicable. The analyses were per EPA Method 524.2 for Volatiles.

This review follows a modified outline of the USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999) as there are no current guidelines for the method stated above.

A table summarizing all data qualification is provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from a specified protocol or is of technical advisory nature.

Blank results are summarized in Section V.

Field duplicates are summarized in Section XVI.

Samples indicated by a double asterisk on the front cover underwent a EPA Level IV review. A EPA Level III review was performed on all of the other samples. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria since this review is based on QC data.

The following are definitions of the data qualifiers:

- U Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected at or above the stated limit.
- J Indicates an estimated value.
- R Quality control indicates the data is not usable.
- N Presumptive evidence of presence of the constituent.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for but not detected. The sample detection limit is an estimated value.
- A Indicates the finding is based upon technical validation criteria.
- P Indicates the finding is related to a protocol/contractual deviation.
- None Indicates the data was not significantly impacted by the finding, therefore qualification was not required.

I. Technical Holding Times

All technical holding time requirements were met.

The chain-of-custodies were reviewed for documentation of cooler temperatures. All cooler temperatures met validation criteria.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked at 12 hour intervals.

All ion abundance requirements were met.

III. Initial Calibration

Initial calibration was performed using required standard concentrations.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than or equal to 20.0% for selected compounds.

A curve fit, based on the initial calibration, was established for quantitation for selected compounds. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was greater than or equal to 0.990 .

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was performed at the required frequencies.

All of the continuing calibration percent differences (%D) between the initial calibration RRF and the continuing calibration RRF were less than or equal to 30.0% with the following exceptions:

Date	Compound	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
2/19/04	Bromomethane	37.09	All samples in SDG 04-1606	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P

V. Blanks

Method blanks were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. No volatile contaminants were found in the method blanks.

VI. Surrogate Spikes

Surrogates were added to all samples and blanks as required by the method. All surrogate recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Although matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples were not required by the method, MS and MSD samples were reported by the laboratory. Percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within QC limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)

Laboratory control samples were reviewed for each matrix as applicable. Percent recoveries (%R) were within QC limits.

IX. Regional Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Not applicable.

X. Internal Standards

All internal standard areas and retention times were within QC limits.

XI. Target Compound Identifications

All target compound identifications were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XII. Compound Quantitation and CRQLs

All compound quantitation and CRQLs were within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XIII. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

Tentatively identified compounds were not reported by the laboratory.

XIV. System Performance

The system performance was within validation criteria for samples on which a EPA Level IV review was performed. Raw data were not evaluated for the samples reviewed by Level III criteria.

XV. Overall Assessment of Data

Data flags have been summarized at the end of the report.

XVI. Field Duplicates

Samples DUPE-3-1Q04** and MW-14-1 were identified as field duplicates. No volatiles were detected in any of the samples with the following exceptions:

Compound	Concentration (ug/L)		RPD
	DUPE-3-1Q04**	MW-14-1	
Chloroform	0.5U	0.3	200
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5U	0.4	200
Tetrachloroethene	0.4	0.6	40

XVII. Field Blanks

Sample TB-4-2/16/04 was identified as a trip blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

Sample EB-4-2/16/04 was identified as an equipment blank. No volatile contaminants were found in this blank.

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1606**

SDG	Sample	Compound	Flag	A or P	Reason
04-1606	DUPE-3-1Q04** EB-4-2/16/04 MW-14-1 MW-14-2 MW-14-3 MW-14-4 MW-14-5 MW-18-2 MW-18-3 MW-18-4 MW-18-5 TB-4-2/16/04	Bromomethane	J (all detects) UJ (all non-detects)	P	Continuing calibration (%D)

NASA JPL**Volatiles - Laboratory Blank Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04-1606**

No Sample Data Qualified in this SDG